

# REU 2006 Apprentice

Instructor: László Babai

Scribe: Matthew Booth

NOT PROOF-READ

July 7, 2006. Last updated July 8, 2006

Recall that Euler's  $\phi$  function is defined so that  $\phi(n)$  is the number of integers between 1 and  $n$  that are relatively prime to  $n$ . Note that if  $p$  is prime then  $\phi(p) = p - 1$  because all integers from 1 to  $p - 1$  are relatively prime to  $p$ .

So for example, what would be  $\phi(p^7)$ ? A number is not relatively prime to  $p^7$  if and only if it is a multiple of  $p$ . So the numbers at most  $p^7$  which are not relatively prime to  $p^7$  are  $p, 2p, 3p, \dots, p \cdot p^6$ . So there are  $p^6$  such numbers. Hence,  $\phi(p^7) = p^7 - p^6 = p^7(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ . Another way of looking at this is that one out of every  $p$  numbers is divisible by  $p$ , and so out of the first  $p^7$  integers, the probability that an element is relatively prime to  $p^7$  is  $(1 - \frac{1}{p})$ .

Now let's consider

$$\sum_{d|p^7} \phi(d) = \phi(p) + \phi(p^2) + \dots + \phi(p^7) = 1 + (p - 1) + (p^2 - p) + \dots + (p^7 - p^6)$$

Note that this is a telescoping sum, and so the result is  $p^7$ . This leads us to wonder if we get a similar result for all numbers.

**Conjecture 1.0.1.**  $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$

Now, consider  $pq$  where  $p$  and  $q$  are primes. There are  $q$  multiples of  $p$  that are at most  $pq$  and there are  $p$  multiples of  $q$  that are at most  $pq$ . The only number  $\leq pq$  that is a multiple of both is  $pq$  itself. So we get that  $\phi(pq) = pq - p - q + 1$  where adding the 1 back is because  $pq$  is both a multiple of  $p$  and a multiple of  $q$  and so was counted twice. Note that we can factor this as  $\phi(pq) = (p - 1) \cdot (q - 1)$ . So  $\frac{\phi(pq)}{pq} = \frac{p-1}{p} \cdot \frac{q-1}{q} = (1 - \frac{1}{p}) \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{q})$ .

**Exercise 1.0.2 (The Chinese Remainder Theorem).** If we have integers  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  such that each  $m_i$  is relatively prime to  $m_j$  for  $i \neq j$  then system of congruences

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \tag{1.0.1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \tag{1.0.2}$$

$$\vdots \tag{1.0.3}$$

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k} \tag{1.0.4}$$

has a solution which is unique mod  $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} m_i$

Now note that

$$\sum_{d|pq} \phi(d) = \phi(1) + \phi(p) + \phi(q) + \phi(pq) = 1 + (p-1) + (q-1) + (pq - p - q + 1) = pq.$$

So that's more evidence for our conjecture.

It would be good to get an explicit formula for  $\phi(n)$ .

**Theorem 1.0.3.** *If  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$ , where  $p_i$  are distinct primes, then  $\phi(n) = n(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}) \cdots (1 - \frac{1}{p_k})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $j$  be a random number in  $\Omega$ . Let  $A_i$  be the subset of  $\Omega$  of numbers which are not divisible by  $p_i$ . The events  $j \in A_i$  are independent of each other (which can be seen from the Chinese Remainder Theorem).

Note that the probability that a random number in  $\Omega$  is relatively prime to  $n$  is just  $\frac{\phi(n)}{n}$ . But also note that a number in  $\Omega$  is relatively prime to  $n$  if and only if it is not divisible by any of the  $p_i$  (and that the probability of not being divisible by a particular  $p_i$  is  $(1 - \frac{1}{p_i})$ ). Since these events are independent, we get the desired formula

$$\frac{\phi(n)}{n} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq k} (1 - \frac{1}{p_i}).$$

□

Let  $G$  be a group and  $a \in G$ .

**Definition 1.0.4.** The *order* of  $a$ ,  $ord(a)$ , is the smallest  $k \geq 1$  such that  $a^k = 1$ .

**Exercise 1.0.5.**  $a^l = 1$  if and only if  $ord(a) | l$ .

Consider the complex  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity (i.e. the complex numbers  $z$  such that  $z^n = 1$ ). They are evenly spaced on the unit circle in the complex plane. Call them  $z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}$  where we have  $z_k = \cos(\frac{2k\pi}{n}) + i\sin(\frac{2k\pi}{n})$ .

**Observation 1.0.6.**  $z$  is an  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity if and only if  $ord(z) | n$ .

**Definition 1.0.7.** If  $ord(z) = n$ , then  $z$  is a *primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity*.

**Exercise 1.0.8.** Show  $z_k$  is a primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity if and only if  $gcd(k, n) = 1$ .

Therefore the number of primitive  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity is  $\phi(n)$ .

Let  $U_n = \{z_0, \dots, z_{n-1}\}$ . How many of the  $z_i$  have order  $d$  where  $d | n$  (i.e. the number of primitive  $d^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity)? Let  $P_d$  be the set of primitive  $d^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. Then  $U_n = \bigcup_{d|n} P_d$  and the  $P_d$  are disjoint. So

$$n = |U_n| = \sum_{d|n} |P_d| = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)$$

and we have proven the conjecture given earlier in the class.

For another proof, take the numbers  $\frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n}{n}$ . Put each of these fractions in their lowest terms and look at the denominators  $d$  that you get (which are exactly the numbers which divide  $n$ ).

**Exercise 1.0.9.** Show that the number of occurrences of the denominator  $d$  in this list is  $\phi(d)$  and finish the proof.

As a reminder, we restate

**Theorem 1.0.10 (Fermat's Little Theorem).** *If  $p$  is prime and  $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ , then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .*

**Definition 1.0.11.** The *order of  $a$  mod  $p$* ,  $\text{ord}_p(a)$ , is the smallest  $k \geq 1$  such that  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

So Fermat's Little Theorem can be restated as  $\text{ord}_p(a) | (p - 1)$ .

**Definition 1.0.12.** If  $p$  is prime then we say  $a$  is a *primitive root mod  $p$*  if  $\text{ord}_p(a) = p - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.0.13.** *For all primes  $p$  there is a primitive root mod  $p$ .*

Before preparing for the proof, here's a nice exercise.

**Exercise 1.0.14.** Find infinitely many  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A$  such that  $A^2 = I$  where  $I$  is the identity matrix.

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field (for example it could be  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} | a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ ).

**Definition 1.0.15.** A *multiplicative inverse of  $a$  mod  $m$*  is a number  $x$  such that  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

For example, since  $3 \cdot 5 = 15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ , we have that  $5 = 3^{-1} \pmod{7}$

**Exercise 1.0.16.** Show that  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse mod  $m$  if and only if  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ .

**Definition 1.0.17.** Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$  where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then we say that the *degree of  $f$* ,  $\text{deg}(f)$ , is  $n$ . A *root of  $f$*  is an element  $z \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $f(z) = 0$ .

**Exercise 1.0.18.** Find a quadratic polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  which does not have a root in  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

**Theorem 1.0.19.** *For  $f$  as above,  $f$  has at most  $n$  roots in  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

**Lemma 1.0.20.** *If  $f(a) = 0$ , then  $f(x) = (x - a) \cdot g(x)$  for some polynomial  $g(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

This is a special case of the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.0.21.**  *$f(x) - f(a) = (x - a) \cdot g(x)$  for some polynomial  $g(x)$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ .*

**Example 1.0.22.** *Let  $f(x) = x^n$ . Then  $f(x) - f(a) = x^n - a^n = (x - a) \cdot (x^{n-1} + ax^{n-2} + a^2x^{n-3} + \dots + a^{n-2}x + a^{n-1})$ . One can see this by expanding out the right hand side and noticing that it is a telescoping sum.*

For the general case, it is not that much different from the example.

*Proof of Lemma.* Let  $f(x) = \sum c_i x^i$ . Then

$$f(x) - f(a) = \sum c_i (x^i - a^i) = \sum c_i (x - a) \cdot g_i(x) = (x - a) \cdot \sum g_i(x)$$

where the  $g_i$  are polynomials. □

**Exercise 1.0.23.** If  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field and  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$ , then  $a \cdot b = 0$  if and only if either  $a$  or  $b$  is 0.

*Proof of the previous theorem.* Let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be the distinct roots of  $f$ . So since  $f(a_1) = 0$ , we have that  $f(x) = (x - a_1)f_1(x)$ . But we also have that  $f(a_2) = 0$ , so we have that  $(a_2 - a_1)f_1(a_2) = 0$ . Since  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , we have that  $a_1 - a_2 \neq 0$  and hence  $f_1(a_2) = 0$ . So we have that  $f(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)f_2(x)$ . Continuing this argument we get  $f(x) = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_l)f_l(x)$ . By looking at the degree of  $f$ , we see that  $l$  can be no greater than the degree of  $f$ , as desired. □

Now, in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , Fermat's little theorem tells us that all  $a \neq 0$  are roots of  $f(x) = x^{p-1} - 1$ . So we get that  $f(x) = (\prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p - \{0\}} (x - a)) \cdot g(x)$ . Looking at degrees, we see that  $g(x)$  is a constant polynomial, and looking at the coefficient of  $x^{p-1}$  on the left and right gives us that  $g(x) = 1$ . So we have just proven

**Theorem 1.0.24.** In  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ,

$$x^{p-1} - 1 = \left( \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p - \{0\}} (x - a) \right).$$

Now, Fermat's Little Theorem tells us that the order of every nonzero element in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is a divisor of  $p - 1$ .

**Question 1.0.25.** How many elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p - \{0\}$  have order that divides  $d$  (where  $d|p-1$ )?

In other words, how many  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p - \{0\}$  are such that  $a^d = 1$ ? Call this number  $k_d$ . Now we know that  $k_d \leq d$  because these are the roots of  $x^d - 1$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Lemma 1.0.26.**  $k_d = d$ .

*Proof.* We need only show that  $k_d \geq d$  by the above. Consider the map  $g(x) = x^{\frac{p-1}{d}}$ . How many elements can have the same  $(\frac{p-1}{d})^{th}$  power? No more than the number of solutions to the polynomial  $x^{\frac{p-1}{d}} - a$  where  $a$  is their common power. So no more than  $\frac{p-1}{d}$ . Hence, if we group the  $p - 1$  elements of  $\mathbb{F}_p - \{0\}$  by their  $(\frac{p-1}{d})^{th}$  power, we are grouping  $p - 1$  elements into groups of no more than  $\frac{p-1}{d}$ . Hence, we have at least  $d$  groups. So there are at least  $d$  different  $(\frac{p-1}{d})^{th}$  powers.

And if  $b = a^{\frac{p-1}{d}}$ ,  $b^d = a^{p-1} = 1$  by Fermat's Little Theorem. So since there are at least  $d$  different  $(\frac{p-1}{d})^{th}$  powers, there are at least  $d$  distinct  $d^{th}$  roots of unity. Hence  $k_d \geq d$  and we are done. □

**Theorem 1.0.27.** Let  $d|p-1$ . Then the number of primitive  $d^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity in  $\mathbb{F}_p - \{0\}$  is  $\phi(d)$ .

**Corollary 1.0.28.** There exists a primitive root mod  $p$ .

The corollary follows by noting that a primitive root mod  $p$  is just a primitive  $(p-1)^{\text{st}}$  root of unity and  $\phi(p-1) \geq 1$ .

*Proof of theorem by induction on  $d$ .* For the base case, we take  $d=1$  and note that  $a^1=1$  has the unique solution of  $a=1$  and  $\phi(1)=1$ .

Now assume the  $d > 1$ . Our inductive hypothesis is that our theorem is true for all  $d' < d$  where  $d'|d$ . So we need to count the elements which have order  $d$ . So let  $P_d$  be the set of such elements and let  $U_d$  be the set of solutions of  $x^d=1$ . Now  $U_d = \bigcup_{d'|d} P_{d'}$  where the  $P_{d'}$  are disjoint. So we have

$$d = k_d = |U_d| = \sum_{d'|d} |P_{d'}| = |P_d| + \sum_{d'|d, d' \neq d} \phi(d')$$

The last equality comes from our inductive hypothesis that for the  $d' < d$ ,  $|P_{d'}| = \phi(d')$ . By our earlier theorem the summation on the right is equal to  $d - \phi(d)$ . So we have that  $d = |P_d| + d - \phi(d)$  and hence  $|P_d| = \phi(d)$  as desired.  $\square$

**Definition 1.0.29.** An element  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  is a *quadratic residue* mod  $p$  if  $a \neq 0$  and there is a  $b$  such that  $a = b^2$ .

**Example 1.0.30.** 2 is a quadratic residue mod 7 because  $2 = 3^2$  in  $\mathbb{F}_7$ .

**Definition 1.0.31.** An element  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$  is a *quadratic nonresidue* mod  $p$  if there is no  $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$  such that  $a = b^2$ .

**Definition 1.0.32 (The Legendre Symbol).**

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue} \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic nonresidue} \\ 0 & \text{if } a = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 1.0.33 (Euler).** For odd primes  $p$ ,  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $b = a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ . If  $a = 0$ , then  $b = 0$  and the theorem holds. If  $a \neq 0$ , then  $b^2 = a^{p-1} = 1$ . So  $0 = b^2 - 1 = (b+1)(b-1)$  which implies that  $b-1 = 0$  or  $b+1 = 0$  and hence  $b = \pm 1$ .

If  $a$  is a quadratic residue mod  $p$ , then there is a  $c$  such that  $c^2 = a$  and hence  $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = c^{p-1} = 1$  by Fermat's Little Theorem and the desired result holds.

Now consider the case where  $a$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $p$ . Now by the corollary above, there is a primitive root mod  $p$ . Call it  $g$ . So  $\text{ord}_p(g) = p-1$  which implies that there is an  $l$  such that  $g^l = a$ . We call  $l$  the discrete log of  $a$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with base  $g$ .

**Lemma 1.0.34.**  $a = g^l$  is a quadratic residue mod  $p$  if and only if  $l$  is even.

If we can show the lemma, then we would know that for  $a$  a quadratic nonresidue,  $l$  would be odd. So  $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = g^{\frac{l(p-1)}{2}}$ . Since  $l$  is odd, it cannot cancel the 2 in the denominator and hence  $\frac{l(p-1)}{2}$  would not be divisible by  $p-1$ . Hence since the order of  $g$  is  $p-1$ , this means that  $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = g^{\frac{l(p-1)}{2}} \neq 1$ . By the above, this means that  $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = -1$  as desired.

*Proof of Lemma.* If  $l$  is even, then  $a = g^l = (g^{\frac{l}{2}})^2$  and hence  $a$  is a quadratic residue.

Now assume that  $a$  is a quadratic residue. Then  $a = b^2$  for some  $b \neq 0$ . But then  $b = g^s$  for some  $s$  and hence  $g^l = a = b^2 = g^{2s}$  and so  $g^{2s-l} = 1$ . But  $g$  has order  $p-1$ . Hence  $(p-1)|(2s-l)$ . Since  $p$  is odd,  $p-1$  is even and hence  $2|(p-1)$ . So  $2|(2s-l)$ . Since  $2|2s$ , this means that  $2|l$  whence  $l$  is even. □

□

**Corollary 1.0.35.**  $-1$  is a quadratic residue mod  $p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or  $p = 2$ .

*Proof.* For  $p = 2$ ,  $1 = -1$  and so  $1^2 = 1 = -1$ . So let  $p \geq 3$ . So

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 4|p-1 \\ -1 & \text{if } 4 \nmid p-1 \end{cases}$$

□

**Corollary 1.0.36.** If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  then there is an  $a$  such that  $p|(a^2 + 1)$  (i.e.  $a^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ ).

**Experiment 1.0.37.** Evaluate  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)$  experimentally.