## REU 2006 · Discrete Math · Lecture 15

Instructor: László Babai Scribe: Travis Schedler Editor: Eliana Zoque

August 4, 2006. Last updated August 5, 2006 at 1:00 p.m. NOT PROOF-READ

# 15 Communication Complexity (continued)

## 15.1 Randomized and Distributional Complexity

Let  $f: \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}$ , and define

$$C(f) = \min_{\mathcal{P}} \max_{(x,y)} |\mathcal{P}(x,y)|, \qquad (15.1.1)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is over all protocols that compute f, and  $|\mathcal{P}(x,y)|$  is the message string. Note that  $C(f) \leq n$ .

Correction: the theorem from last time that states  $C(\beta) \ge \log \operatorname{rk}(M_f)$  where  $M_f = (f(x,y))_{2^n \times 2^n}$  was incorrectly attributed to Yau last time: the correct attribution is Mehlhorn-Schmidt.

The Randomized Communication Complexity of f is denoted  $C_{\varepsilon}(f)$ , and is defined by the same equation (15.1.1), except that  $\mathcal{P}$  ranges over protocols that compute f with some error allowed, of probability  $\leq \varepsilon$ . More precisely, we require that  $(\forall x, y)(\Pr(\text{error}) \leq \varepsilon)$ .

Distributional Complexity: The randomization over inputs

$$D_{\varepsilon,\mu}(f) = \min \left\{ C(f^*) \middle| \Pr_{\mu}(f^*(x,y) \neq f(x,y)) \leq \varepsilon \right\}$$
 (15.1.2)

Lemma 15.1.1.  $\forall \mu, R_{\varepsilon}(f) \geq D_{\varepsilon,\mu}(F)$ 

In fact,  $R_{\varepsilon}(f) = \max_{\mu} D_{\varepsilon,\mu}(f) =: D_{\varepsilon}(f)$ . (We won't use this.)  $IP_x\left(\underline{x},\underline{y}\right) = \sum_{\mu} x_i y_i \pmod{2}$ .

**Theorem 15.1.2.**  $C_{\varepsilon}(IP_X) = \Omega(n)$  (i.e.  $\geq c \cdot n$ ).

Let's switch notation: let  $f:\Omega\to\{\pm 1\}$ , with  $S\subset\Omega$ . The (normalized) discrepancy of f over S is

$$\Delta(f, s) = \frac{\left| \sum_{x \in S} f(x) \right|}{|\Omega|}.$$

If f is homogeneous on S then  $\Delta(f, S) = \frac{|S|}{|\Omega|}$ .

The discrepancy of f is  $\Delta(f) = \max_{S \in \mathcal{F}} \Delta(f, S)$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a particular family of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

Now, recall that our domain is  $\Omega = \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n$ . We wanted to prove the

#### Theorem 15.1.3.

$$C_{\varepsilon}(f) \ge \log\left(\frac{1-2\varepsilon}{\Delta_{\square}(f)}\right),$$
 (15.1.3)

where the  $\square$  is over all rectangles (in the big  $2^n \times 2^n$ -rectangle of inputs). (note the numerator was originally  $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$  and was then changed.)

To bound  $C_{\varepsilon}$  from below, we estimate  $D_{\varepsilon,\mu}$  with respect to the **uniform** distribution  $\mu$ . Let  $s := D_{\varepsilon,\mu}$ .

Now,  $\Delta := \Delta_{\square}(f)$ , i.e., for every rectangle: say, label the rectangles  $R_j$ , of sizes  $k_j \times \ell_j$ ; one has

$$\left| \sum_{R_j} f(x, y) \right| \le \Delta \cdot 2^{2n}. \tag{15.1.4}$$

So  $\mathcal{P}$  is a deterministic protocol with  $\leq \varepsilon$  fraction of error, and the message length is s. If we have a cover by  $2^s$  rectangles, homogeneous with respect to a fraction  $f^* \approx_{\varepsilon} f$ , let's say each  $R_j$  has  $a_j$  1's and  $b_j$  -1's, with  $a_j \geq b_j$ : the number of errors is  $b_j$ .

Now  $0 \le a_j - b_j \le \Delta \cdot 2^{2n}$ , and  $a_j + b_j = k_j \ell_j$ . So, adding these,  $2b_j \ge k_j \ell_j - \Delta 2^{2n}$ .

$$2\varepsilon 2^{2n} > 2 \cdot \text{total error} > 2^{2n} - 2^s \cdot \Delta \cdot 2^{2n}, \tag{15.1.5}$$

$$2\varepsilon \ge 1 - 2^s \Delta \tag{15.1.6}$$

$$2^s \Delta \ge 1 - 2\varepsilon \tag{15.1.7}$$

$$2^s \ge \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{\Lambda} \tag{15.1.8}$$

$$s \ge \log \frac{1 - 2\varepsilon}{\Delta}.\tag{15.1.9}$$

Now to complete the proof we need to learn about Hadamard matrices.

### 15.2 Hadamard Matrices

We have the following claim about the discrepancy of  $IP_x$  over rectangles:

Claim 15.2.1.  $\pm 1$ -representation of  $IP_{-}$  matrix is Hadamard.

**Definition 15.2.2.** A  $N \times N$ -matrix is Hadamard if

1. every entry is  $\pm 1$ 

2. rows are orthogonal, i.e. 
$$AA^T = N \cdot I = \begin{pmatrix} N & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & N \end{pmatrix}$$

Exercises:

Exercise 15.2.3.  $rk(A \otimes B) = rk(A) \cdot rk(b)$ .

**Exercise 15.2.4.** If  $k_1 = \ell_1$  and  $k_2 = \ell_2$  and eigenvalues of A are  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{k_1}$  and of B are  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{k_2}$  (full lists counting multiplicities over  $\mathbb{C}$ ), then the eigenvalues of  $A \otimes B$  are  $\lambda_i \mu_j$ .

**Exercise 15.2.5.** If A, B are Hadarmard then  $A \otimes B$  is Hadamard.

**Exercise 15.2.6.**  $S_n := \bigotimes^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  is a  $2^n \times 2^n$  Hadamard matrix. This is called the  $2^n \times 2^n$  Sylvester matrix

**Exercise 15.2.7.** Prove: if  $\exists$  an  $N \times N$  Hadamard matrix then N = 2 or  $4 \mid N$ .

**Conjecture 15.2.8.** This is also sufficient: if  $4 \mid N$  then there exists an  $n \times N$  Hadamard matrix.

**Exercise 15.2.9.** If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  is prime, then there exists a Hadamard matrix of size  $(p-1) \times (p-1)$ . Hint: use the quadratic character (Legendre symbol) modulo p.

One question is, what is the density of Hadamard numbers (numbers for which a Hadamard matrix of that size exists).

Bad fact: the density of the currently known Hadamard numbers is 0. Here, density  $(A) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, \dots, n\}|}{n}$ . But the conjectural (15.2.8) density is 1/4.

**Lemma 15.2.10.** (J.H. Lindsey's Lemma): If H is an  $N \times N$  Hadamard matrix and R is a  $k \times \ell$  rectangle in H, then

$$\left| \sum_{R} h_{ij} \right| \le \sqrt{k\ell N}, \quad k, \ell \le N. \tag{15.2.1}$$

Corollary 15.2.11.

$$\Delta \le \frac{N^{3/2}}{N^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{15.2.2}$$

Now,  $C_{\varepsilon}(f) \ge \log_2 \frac{1-2\varepsilon}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}} = \log_2(1-2\varepsilon) + \frac{n}{2} = \Omega(n)$ , assuming that  $M_f(\pm 1)$  is Hadamard.

We have that  $M_n = ((-1)^{|A \cap B|})_{2^n \times 2^n}$  for  $A, B \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Note that  $|A \cap B|$  can be reduced modulo two here because it's an exponent of -1.

### Claim 15.2.12.

$$M_{n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} M_n & M_n \\ M_n & -M_n \end{pmatrix}. \tag{15.2.3}$$

Recall from Exercise 15.2.6 that  $\bigotimes^n \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = S_n$  is called the  $2^n \times 2^n$  Sylvester matrix.

Claim 15.2.13.  $M_n$  is Hadamard.

Exercise 15.2.14. (a hint for Exercise 15.2.6)  $\sum_{A} (-1)^{|A \cap B_1|} \cdot (-1)^{|A \cap B_2|} = \delta_{B_1, B_2}$ .

Now, let's end with some magic. First note that if A is orthogonal and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then ||Ax|| = ||x||. Now, we have  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ , so  $(AA^T)^T = AA^T$ .

Let's suppose that  $AA^T = I$ . Does it follow that  $A^TA = I$ ? In general it is not obvious that if AB = I then BA = I. To do this we really only need to prove that the existence of a right inverse is equivalent to the existence of a left inverse. This is because, in a semigroup, ab = 1 and ca = 1 imply b = c. Existence of a right inverse is the same as the rows being linearly independent, while the existence of a left inverse is the same as the columns being linearly independent. So if the matrix is square, having a right inverse is equivalent to having a left inverse (for finite-dimensional matrices). Example: multiplying by x or differentiating in the space of polynomials in x.

Finally, we need to prove Lindsey's lemma:

*Proof.* (Lindsey's Lemma): We will need Cauchy-Schwarz (note that Schwarz has a "c" and no "t" so it's a German Schwarz):

**Theorem 15.2.15.** (Cauchy-Schwarz):  $|x \cdot y| \le ||x|| \cdot ||y||$ .

We know that  $||Ax||^2 = (Ax)^T (Ax) = x^T A^T Ax = x^T x = ||x||^2$ .

Now we want to know the sum of the entries that fall in a rectangle R, i.e.  $\sum_{R} h_{i,j} = a^T H b$ , where a has a 1 in the entries corresponding to the rows used by R and b has a 1 in the entries corresponding to the columns used by R (we put a and b as column vectors). So  $|a^T H b| \leq ||a^T|| \cdot ||H b|| = \sqrt{k} ||H b||$ . Now  $HH^T = N \cdot I$ , and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}H$  is orthogonal. So  $||\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}H\right)b|| = ||b||$  and  $||Hb|| = \sqrt{N}||b|| = \sqrt{N}\ell$ . This is a magical proof: note that 99% of the magic is in the Cauchy-Schwarz.

This completes the proof of Theorem 15.1.3.

### 15.3 Indian Head Poker

Let's move on to something different: recall Indian Head Poker: three people each put a card on their respective foreheads so that they can see the other two cards but not their own. Then they bet on whose card will win. So we have a function f(x, y, z), with  $C(f) \leq n$ , which has to do with the cards

(e.g. is someone's card higher than the other, etc.). Let's find an **explicit** function f such that  $C(f) = \Omega(n)$ . Finding explicit functions is usually what people are most interested in (random functions cannot be computed).

Suppose  $f: \{0,1\}^{3n} \to \{0,1\}$ . We want to find a function that's difficult to compute: one is the Generalized Inner Product (GIP):  $GIP(x,y,z) = \sum x_i y_i z_i \pmod{2}$ .

What other examples are there? For two players one has

#### Exercise 15.3.1.

$$C_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(\frac{x+y}{p}\right)\right) = \Omega(n),$$
 (15.3.1)

where the (-) here is the Legendre symbol.

Theorem 15.3.2. 
$$C_{\varepsilon}\left(\left(\frac{x+y+z}{p}\right)\right) = \Omega(n)$$
.

This has to do with the quadratic character. One also has  $C_{\varepsilon}(GIP) = \Omega(n)$ .

For k players,

$$C(GIP_k) = \Omega\left(\frac{n}{4^k}\right),\tag{15.3.2}$$

and

$$C(QCH) = \Omega\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right). \tag{15.3.3}$$

Note that for both of these, they are only difficult to communicate if  $k \ll \log(n)$ . We don't know any functions that are difficult to compute if  $k \sim \log(n)$ .

**Question 15.3.3.** (Open question): Find an explicit f with  $C_k(f) > (\log n)^2$  with  $k > \log n$  players.

Note: the proof of  $C(GIP_k)$  involves repeated Cauchy-Schwarz. The proof of C(QCH) is an inductive proof using Cauchy-Schwarz whose base case uses Weil's character estimates.