

## REU APPRENTICE CLASS #17

INSTRUCTOR: LÁSZLÓ BABAI  
SCRIBE: ASILATA BAPAT

Wednesday, July 20, 2011

### 1. PROBLEM SOLUTIONS

Today we discussed problems 113, 142, 143(a), 162, 163, 168, 169.

**Problem 113.** (Presented by Zihao)

Let  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  be eigenvectors of a matrix  $A$  with distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ . Suppose that the vectors are linearly dependent, and let  $k$  be the smallest integer such that  $v_k \in \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}\}$ . Then we can write the following equation:

$$v_k = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} v_{k-1},$$

for some scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}$ .

Now apply the matrix  $A$  to the preceding equation, and also multiply the equation by  $\lambda_k$  to obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_k v_k &= \alpha_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \lambda_{k-1} v_{k-1}, \\ \lambda_k v_k &= \alpha_1 \lambda_k v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} \lambda_k v_{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting these two equations, we see that

$$0 = \alpha_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_k)v_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1}(\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)v_{k-1}.$$

Since  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}$  are linearly independent, it follows that  $\alpha_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) = 0$  for every  $i < k$ . However,  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_k$  for  $i < k$ . This means that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i < k$ .

Since  $v_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_i v_i$ , we have  $v_k = 0$ . However,  $v_k$  was an eigenvector, so  $v_k$  cannot be zero. This is a contradiction.

**Problem 142.** Recall that the Cayley-Hamilton theorem says that an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  satisfies its own characteristic polynomial  $f_A$ .

Consider  $A$  to be a matrix of variables, that is  $A = (x_{ij})$ . Then the equation  $f_A(A) = 0$  is equivalent to a certain system of  $n^2$  identities in the variables  $x_{ij}$ .

Let us compute an example, namely the  $2 \times 2$  case. In this case, the characteristic polynomial is the following:

$$f_A(t) = (t - x_{11})(t - x_{22}) - x_{12}x_{21} = t^2 - (x_{11} + x_{22})t + x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21}.$$

Plugging in the matrix  $A$ , we see that

$$A^2 - (x_{11} + x_{22})A + (x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21})I = 0.$$

Let us compute the entries of the left hand side of this equation (which is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix of multivariate polynomials). We see that

$$A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}^2 + x_{12}x_{21} & x_{11}x_{12} + x_{12}x_{22} \\ x_{21}x_{11} + x_{22}x_{21} & x_{21}x_{12} + x_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing out the entries of the polynomial, we see that the top right entry is

$$(x_{11}x_{12} + x_{12}x_{22}) - (x_{11} + x_{22})x_{12},$$

which is formally zero (all terms cancel).

The top left entry becomes

$$x_{11}^2 + x_{12}x_{21} - (x_{11} + x_{22})x_{11} + (x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21}),$$

which is also formally zero.

We know that if a degree- $n$  polynomial of one variable vanishes at more than  $n$  places, then it is the zero polynomial. If we can prove a similar result for multivariate polynomials, then it will follow that the  $n^2$  identities that we get from  $f_A(A)$  are formal identities (all terms cancel). (Refer to problem 176 for this result.)

Assuming the result of Problem 176, we see that the  $n^2$  identities are formal identities in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}]$ .

Since the obvious map  $\mathbb{Z}[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x_{11}, \dots, x_{nn}]$  is a homomorphism, the formal identities on the left map to formal identities on the right. Therefore, once we know that the Cayley-Hamilton theorem is true over the integers (which we know because we proved it over the complex numbers), we have also proved it over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In fact, the same is true if we replace  $\mathbb{F}_q$  by any commutative ring with identity.

**Problem 143.** (Jenny)

- Find the traces of both sides. We know that  $\text{Tr}(AB - BA) = \text{Tr}(AB) - \text{Tr}(BA) = 0$ . However,  $\text{Tr}(I) \neq 0$  in characteristic zero.

**Problem 162.** Recall that an  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A$  is called normal if  $AA^* = A^*A$ . Recall from the complex spectral theorem that a matrix  $A$  is normal if and only if it is unitarily similar to a diagonal matrix.

Suppose that  $A = (\alpha_{ij})$  is an  $n \times n$  normal triangular matrix. We will compute the top-left corner element of each of the two products  $A^*A$  and  $AA^*$  (which are supposed to be equal).

Let  $AA^* = A^*A = (c_{ij})$ . If the columns of  $A$  are denoted by  $\underline{a}_i$ , then we evaluate  $A^*A$  to get the following:

$$c_{11} = \underline{a}_1^* \underline{a}_1 = \|\underline{a}_1\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_{i1}|^2.$$

However,  $\alpha_{i1} = 0$  if  $i > 1$ . Therefore  $c_{11} = |\alpha_{11}|^2$ .

Now we compute the same quantity using  $AA^*$ . Let  $\underline{r}_1, \dots, \underline{r}_n$  be the rows of  $A$ . Therefore we get

$$c_{11} = \underline{r}_1^* \underline{r}_1 = \|\underline{r}_1\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_{1j}|^2.$$

Setting the two computed values of  $c_{11}$  to be equal, we see that  $\alpha_{1j} = 0$  for all  $j > 1$ , so  $\alpha_{11}$  is the only nonzero entry in the first row. Now use induction to finish the proof.

**Problem 163.** Suppose that  $A$  is a unitary matrix with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . This means that there is a nonzero vector  $v$  such that  $Av = \lambda v$ . Taking conjugate transposes, we see that

$$v^* A^* = (Av)^* = \bar{\lambda} v^*.$$

Now consider the product  $(v^* A^*)(Av)$ :

$$v^* A^* Av = v^* I v = v^* v = \bar{\lambda} \lambda \cdot v^* v.$$

Observe that  $\bar{\lambda} \lambda = |\lambda|^2$ . Also,  $v^* v = \|v\|^2$ , and this is a positive real number since  $v \neq 0$ . Dividing by this quantity on both sides, we see that  $|\lambda|^2 = 1$ .

**Problem 168.** (Presented by Nathan)

The Rayleigh quotient for a nonzero vector  $x$  is defined by  $R_A(x) = (x^t Ax)/(x^t x)$ .

First let  $A$  be a diagonal matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the Rayleigh quotient of this matrix  $A$  is

$$R_A(x) = \frac{\sum_i \lambda_i x_i^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}.$$

Suppose that  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ .

We will first show that the maximum value of  $R_A(x)$  is equal to  $\lambda_1$ . Observe that if  $x = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $R_A(x) = \lambda_1$ . However,  $\sum_i \lambda_i x_i^2 \leq \sum_i \lambda_1 x_i^2$ , so  $R_A(x) \leq \lambda_1$ . This proves that the maximum value of  $R_A(x)$  is exactly  $\lambda_1$ . A very similar argument works to show that the minimum value of  $R_A(x)$  is equal to  $\lambda_n$ .

To finish the proof for a general real symmetric matrix  $A$ , observe that by the spectral theorem,  $A$  has an orthonormal eigenbasis, say  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  respectively.

Let  $x$  be a nonzero vector. Write  $x$  as a linear combination of the  $e_i$  as follows:  $x = \sum_i \alpha_i e_i$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x^t x &= \sum_i \alpha_i^2, \\ x^t A x &= x^t \left( \sum_i \alpha_i A e_i \right) = \left( \sum_i \alpha_i e_i^t \right) \left( \sum_j \alpha_j \lambda_j e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i \alpha_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is clear that the same proof as before works.

**Problem 169.** (Presented by Hannah)

Recall that a matrix  $B$  is called positive definite if  $B$  is symmetric and if  $x^t B x > 0$  for all non-zero vectors  $x$ .

Let  $B$  be a positive-definite (real symmetric) matrix. Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $B$ . Then there is an eigenvector  $v$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . So  $Bv = \lambda v$ , and therefore  $v^t B v = v^t \lambda v = \lambda \|v\|^2$ . Since  $v^t B v > 0$ , and  $\|v\|^2 > 0$ , we see that  $\lambda > 0$ .

Now suppose that  $B$  is an  $n \times n$  real symmetric matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all  $i$ . We will show that  $B$  is positive definite.

Using the spectral theorem for real symmetric matrices, we know that  $B$  has an orthonormal eigenbasis, say  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , such that  $Bv_i = \lambda_i v_i$  for every  $i$ . Let  $x$  be some nonzero vector. Now write  $x$  as a linear combination of the  $v_i$ , that is,  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$ . Therefore  $x^t = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j^t$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x^t B x &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i^t \right) B \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \right), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j v_i^t B v_j, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j \lambda_j v_i^t v_j. \end{aligned}$$

However,  $v_i^t v_j = \delta_{ij}$ . Therefore we see that

$$x^t B x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \lambda_i.$$

Observe that every term is nonnegative, but at least one of the  $\alpha_i$  is nonzero since  $x \neq 0$ . Therefore  $x^t B x > 0$ .

## 2. NEW PROBLEMS

**Problem 175.** Show that the set  $U(n) = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid AA^* = I\}$  of unitary matrices forms a group.

**Problem 176.** Suppose that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a multivariate polynomial over a field  $F$  such that the degree of  $f$  is at most  $d$  in each variable. (E.g., the polynomial  $x^3 y^3 z^2 + 7xyz^3$  satisfies the degree bound  $d = 3$ .) Let  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d$  be distinct elements of the field. Suppose that for every substitution of values  $\beta_i \in \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d\}$  we have  $f(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = 0$ . Then  $f = 0$  (as a formal polynomial, i.e., all coefficients are zero.)

(Hint: Use induction on the number of variables.)

**Problem 177.** Show that in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , every sense-preserving (orientation-preserving) congruence that fixes a point is a rotation.