

# Primitive coherent configurations: On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups

László Babai\*

May 1, 2011

## Abstract

These notes describe the author's elementary graph theoretic proof of the nearly tight  $\exp(4\sqrt{n} \ln^2 n)$  bound on the order of primitive, not doubly transitive permutation groups (*Ann. Math.*, 1981). The exposition incorporates a lemma by V. N. Zemlyachenko that simplifies the proof.

The central concept in the proof is *primitive coherent configurations*, a combinatorial relaxation of the action of primitive permutation groups. The exposition follows the authors' 2003 REU lecture; simple observations are listed as “exercises.”

## 1 Large primitive groups

For large  $n$ , the largest four primitive permutation groups are  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ , of order about  $n!$ , and  $S_k^{(2)}$  (for  $n = \binom{k}{2}$ ) and  $S_k \wr S_2$  (for  $n = k^2$ ), of order about  $\exp(c\sqrt{n} \ln n)$ . The classification of finite simple groups allows one to show that these are the largest and even to list the largest down to size about  $\exp(\ln^2 n)$  (Cameron [4], cf. Maróti [5]). We can do reasonably well with elementary means.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume  $G \leq S_n$ ,  $A_n \not\leq G$ , and  $G$  is primitive.*

1. (Bochert, 1889 [3])  $|G| \leq \frac{n!}{(n+1)/2!} \approx e^{\frac{n}{2} \log n}$ .
2. (Wielandt, 1934 [8], Praeger-Saxl, 1980 [7])  $|G| < 4^n$  (using nontrivial elementary group theory)
3. (Babai, 1981 [1]) If  $G$  is not doubly transitive then  $|G| < \exp(4\sqrt{n} \log^2 n)$  (using graph theory and a simple probabilistic argument).

---

\*Based on a 2003 REU lecture; scribe: Tom Hayes. Revised: LB, May 2011.

4. (Babai, 1982 [2]) If  $G$  is doubly transitive then  $|G| < \exp \exp c\sqrt{\log n}$  (using elementary group theory and a simple probabilistic argument)
5. (Pyber, 1993 [6]) If  $G$  is doubly transitive then  $|G| < \exp c \log^3 n$  (using elementary group theory and the probabilistic argument of [2]) and  $|G| < \exp c \log^2 n$  (using additionally an elementary group theoretic result of Wielandt [8])

**Exercise 1.2.** Doubly transitive implies primitive.

The following theorem is proved using the classification of finite simple groups; one can get close by elementary means.

**Theorem 1.3.** If  $G \leq S_n$ ,  $G \not\leq A_n$  is doubly transitive then  $|G| < n^{1+\log_2 n}$ .

**Exercise 1.4.** Verify that this bound is essentially tight for  $\text{PSL}(d, q)$  and  $\text{AGL}(d, q)$ , acting on the corresponding projective and affine spaces, resp., where  $q$  is fixed and  $d \rightarrow \infty$ .

Remarks about symmetry and regularity: symmetry conditions are given in terms of automorphisms; regularity conditions in terms of numerical parameters. Symmetry condition imply regularity conditions (e.g., vertex-transitivity is a symmetry condition, which implies that the graph is regular, a regularity condition). The converse is seldom true. We shall define regularity conditions on a family of edge-colored digraphs which capture some combinatorial consequences of primitive group action. Using this translation, we shall prove a combinatorial result which implies a nearly optimal upper bound on the order of uniprimitive (primitive but not doubly transitive) permutation groups.

Picture of  $D_6$ .  $R_0 = \Delta = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \Omega\}$ , diagonal.  $\Omega \times \Omega = R_0 \cup R_1 \cup \dots \cup R_{r-1}$ .  $r = \#$  colors =  $\#$  orbits of  $G$  on  $\Omega \times \Omega$ .  $D_6$  has rank 4,  $r = 4$ . In this case, all orbitals are self-paired.

**Definition 1.5.** An *orbital*  $\Gamma$  of a permutation group  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is an orbit of  $G$  on the set of ordered pairs ( $\Gamma \subset \Omega \times \Omega$ ).  $\Gamma$  is *self-paired* when  $\Gamma = \Gamma^{-1}$  (i.e., for  $(x, y) \in \Gamma$  there exists  $\sigma \in G$  such that  $x^\sigma = y$  and  $y^\sigma = x$ ). The *rank*  $r$  of a permutation group is the number of its orbitals.

**Exercise 1.6.** If  $G$  is doubly transitive, then  $\text{rk}(G) = 2$ . What do the two classes correspond to?

**Definition 1.7.** COHERENT CONFIGURATION of rank  $r$ :

$\mathfrak{X} = (\Omega; R_0, \dots, R_{r-1})$ ,  $R_i \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$ .

$\Omega \times \Omega = R_0 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} R_{r-1}$ .

$X_i = (\Omega, R_i)$ ,  $i$ 'th color digraph, called a *constituent digraph*. The color of a pair  $x, y$  is defined as  $c(x, y) = i$  if  $(x, y) \in R_i$ .

To be coherent, the following 3 axioms must be satisfied:

A1: The diagonal is  $\Delta = R_0 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} R_{i_0-1}$ . Equivalently,  $c(x, x) = c(y, z) \Rightarrow y = z$ .

A2:  $(\forall i)(\exists j)(R_j = R_i^{-1})$ . Terminology:  $R_i$  is *self-paired* if  $R_i = R_i^{-1}$ , i. e.,  $X_i$  is undirected.

A3:  $(\exists p_{i,j,k})(\forall (x,y) \in R_i)(\#\{z \mid c(x,z) = j, c(z,y) = k\} = p_{i,j,k})$

**Definition 1.8.** For  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(G) := (\Omega; \text{orbitals})$ . We refer to these as “the group case.”

**Exercise 1.9.**  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is a coherent configuration.

**Exercise 1.10.**  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X}(G))$ , the group of color-preserving permutations.  $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{X})$  if  $(\forall x, y)(c(x, y) = c(x^\pi, y^\pi))$

**Remark 1.11.** There exist coherent configurations without a group. In fact, there are exponentially many rank-3 coherent configurations with no automorphisms.

Well, we always lose in translation. The question is how much.

**Exercise 1.12.** The number of  $x \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow y$  walks of a given color-composition only depends on  $c(x, y)$ . E. g., how many walks from  $x$  to  $y$  of length 4 are colored red, blue, purple, blue (in order)?

**Definition 1.13.**  $\mathfrak{X}$  is *homogeneous* if  $R_0 = \Delta$  (i. e.,  $(\forall x, y)(c(x, x) = c(y, y))$ ).

**Exercise 1.14.**  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is homogeneous  $\iff G$  is transitive.

**Exercise 1.15.** If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is homogeneous, then every weak component of each  $X_i$  is strongly connected.

**Exercise 1.16.** If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is homogeneous, then  $(\forall x)(\forall i)(\text{in-degree}_i(x) = \text{out-degree}_i(x) = \rho_i)$  ( $\rho_i$  does not depend on  $x$ ). So  $X_i$  is Eulerian, and indeed is regular.

By the way,  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \rho_i = n$ , since every vertex is connected to every other (including itself) in the graph  $\cup X_i$ , whose edge set contains all  $n^2$  ordered pairs.

**Definition 1.17.**  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a *primitive* coherent configuration if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is homogeneous and ALL constituent digraphs  $X_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$  are connected.

**Exercise 1.18.**  $\mathfrak{X}(G)$  is primitive  $\iff G$  is primitive. (DO!!!)

**Definition 1.19.**  $\mathfrak{X}$  is uniprimitive coherent configuration if  $\mathfrak{X}$  is primitive and  $\text{rank} \geq 3$ .

**Exercise 1.20.**  $\mathfrak{X}$  is uniprimitive  $\iff G$  is uniprimitive (primitive but not doubly transitive).

$G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ ,  $\Psi \subseteq \Omega$ . Look at the pointwise stabilizer,  $G_\Psi = \bigcap_{x \in \Psi} G_x$ . If  $G_\Psi = \{1\}$ , then  $|G| \leq n^{|\Psi|}$ , in fact  $|G| \leq n(n-1) \cdots (n-|\Psi|+1)$ . Call such a  $\Psi$  a “base” of  $G$ .

We shall prove, using only elementary graph theoretic arguments, that

**Theorem 1.21.** If  $G$  is uniprimitive, then  $|G| < \exp(4\sqrt{n}(\ln n)^2)$ .

**Lemma 1.22.** *If  $G$  is uniprimitive, then  $(\exists \Psi \subseteq \Omega)(|\Psi| \leq 4\sqrt{n} \ln n$  and  $G_\Psi = \{1\})$ .*

Examples: How large is the smallest base for various classes of permutation groups?

**Definition 1.23.**  $z$  *distinguishes*  $x$  and  $y$  if  $c(x, z) \neq c(y, z)$ .  $D(x, y) = \{z \mid c(x, z) \neq c(y, z)\}$  is the *distinguishing set* for  $x, y$ .

**Exercise 1.24.** If  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}(G)$  and  $z \in D(x, y)$ , then  $x, y$  are *not* in the same orbit of  $G_z$ . (Obvious, because the group preserves the colors.)

**Definition 1.25.** A *distinguishing set* of  $\mathfrak{X}$  is any set  $\Psi \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $(\forall x \neq y)(\Psi \cap D(x, y) \neq \emptyset)$ . In other words, for every pair  $x, y$ ,  $\Psi$  contains an element which distinguishes them.

**Exercise 1.26.** For  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}(G)$ , if  $\Psi$  is a distinguishing set, then  $\Psi$  is a base for  $G$ .

Theorem 1.21 will follow from the following result.

**Theorem 1.27.** *If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a uniprimitive coherent configuration, then there exists a distinguishing set  $\Psi$  such that  $|\Psi| < 4\sqrt{n} \ln n$ .*

This will be an immediate consequence of the following. From now on, let us always assume  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a uniprimitive coherent configuration.

**Theorem 1.28** (Main technical theorem). *For every  $x, y$ ,  $|D(x, y)| \geq \sqrt{n}/2$ .*

**Proof:** [Main technical theorem  $\Rightarrow$  Theorem 1.27]. Pick  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  at random, and hope that we picked enough to hit each  $D(x, y)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(D(x, y) \text{ not hit}) &= \left(1 - \frac{|D(x, y)|}{n}\right)^m \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{|D(x, y)|m}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the Union Bound,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr((\exists x, y)(D(x, y) \text{ not hit})) &< \binom{n}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{D_{\min} m}{n}\right) \\ &< \exp\left(-\frac{D_{\min} m}{n} + 2 \ln n\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_{\min} = \min_{x \neq y} |D(x, y)|$ .

For this, it is sufficient to show

$$\exp\left(\frac{D_{\min} m}{n} + 2 \ln n\right) \leq 1$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{D_{\min}m}{n} + 2 \ln n \leq 0$$

which follows from

$$m \geq \frac{2n \ln n}{D_{\min}} \leq 4\sqrt{n} \ln(n) =: m.$$

The last inequality used the Main technical theorem, which gives a lower bound on  $D_{\min}$ .

## 2 Min size of distinguishing sets

We spend the rest of this class with proving the Main technical theorem above.

**Exercise 2.1.**  $|D(x, y)|$  depends only on  $c(x, y)$ .

**Notation 2.2.** Let  $D(i) := |D(x, y)|$ , where  $i = c(x, y)$ .  $X_i = (\Omega; R_i)$ . Let  $X'_i = (\Omega; R_i \cup R_i^{-1})$  be the corresponding undirected graph.

**Lemma 2.3.** For  $i \geq 1$ , if  $X'_i$  is not the complete graph, then  $\text{diam}(\overline{X'_i}) = 2$ .

**Proof:** There exist  $x, y$  at distance 2 in  $\overline{X'_i}$ , because there exist  $x, z$  not adjacent in  $\overline{X'_i}$ , but  $\overline{X'_i}$  is connected by primitivity, and so the third vertex of any minimal  $x, z$ -path is at distance 2 from  $x$ .

Now take any  $u, v \in \Omega$ , not adjacent in  $\overline{X'_i}$ . Need to show:  $\text{dist}_{\overline{X'_i}}(u, v) \geq 2$ . Need to show:  $u, v$  have a common neighbor in  $\overline{X'_i}$ .  $c(u, v) \in \{i, i^{-1}\}$ . Implies # common neighbors of  $u, v$  in  $\overline{X'_i}$  is the same as for  $x, y$ .

**Exercise 2.4.** If  $X$  is a regular graph of degree  $\rho$  and diameter = 2, then  $\rho \geq \sqrt{n-1}$ .

**Exercise<sup>+</sup> 2.5.**  $\rho = \sqrt{n-1}$  under the above conditions implies  $\rho \in \{2, 3, 7, 57\}$ . *Hint.* Figure out a connection to girth. This exercise is only for students who took the first half of this course.

**Lemma 2.6.**  $(\forall i \geq 1)(\rho_i \leq n-1 - \sqrt{n-1})$ .

**Proof:** If  $X'_i$  is the complete graph, then  $\rho_i = (n-1)/2$  and we are done. Otherwise, use Lemma 2.3 and Exercise 2.4.

**Notation 2.7.** We shall consider the *average* distinguishing number

$$\overline{D} = \frac{\sum_{x \neq y} |D(x, y)|}{n(n-1)}.$$

Also, let  $\rho_{\max} := \max_i \rho_i$ .

**Lemma 2.8.**  $\overline{D} \geq n - \rho_{\max} \geq \sqrt{n-1} + 1 \sim \sqrt{n}$ .

**Proof:** Count the number of triples  $(x, y, z)$  such that  $z \notin D(x, y)$ . This means  $c(x, z) = c(y, z) = \rho_i$  for some  $i$ . This is

$$n - \overline{D} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \rho_i(\rho_i - 1)}{n - 1} \leq \rho_{\max} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (\rho_i - 1)}{n - 1} < \rho_{\max}.$$

**Lemma 2.9.**  $D(i) \leq \text{dist}_{X'_j}(i) D(j)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$  be a in  $X'_j$  path where  $c(x_0, x_d) = i$ .  $D(x_0, x_d) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^d D(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ . The size on the left side is  $D(i)$ ; all stes on the right side have size  $D(j)$ .

**Notation 2.10.**  $\text{diam}(i) := \text{diam}(X'_i)$ .

**Corollary 2.11.**  $D(j) \geq \overline{D} / \text{diam}(j)$ .

**Proof:** Need:  $\overline{D} \leq \text{diam}(j) D(j)$ . Pick  $i$  such that  $D(i) \geq \overline{D}$ . Then  $\text{dist}_{X'_j}(i) \leq \text{diam}(X'_j) = \text{diam}(j)$ .

**Corollary 2.12.** If  $\text{diam}(i) = 2$  then  $D(i) \gtrsim \sqrt{n}/2$ .

**Lemma 2.13** (Zemlyachenko). If  $\text{diam}(i) \geq 3$  then  $D(i) \geq \rho_i/3$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x, y, z, w$  be a shortest path from  $z$  to  $w$  in  $X'_i$ . Let  $X'_i(x) = \{ \text{neighbors of } x \text{ in color } i \}$ .

**Claim 2.14.**  $X'_i(x) \subseteq D(x, w)$  and  $D(i) \geq |D(x, w)|/3$ . The Lemma is immediate from the following claim:

The claim is easy: if some  $X'_i$ -neighbor  $u$  of  $x$  did not distinguish  $x$  from  $w$  then  $c(u, w) = c(u, x) = i^\pm$ , so  $x - u - w$  would be an  $X'_i$ -path of length 2, contradicting the assumption that  $\text{dist}_i(x, w) = 3$ . Now  $|D(x, w)| \leq 3D(i)$  by Lemma 2.9.

**Exercise 2.15.** Suppose there exists an edge of color  $h$  between  $X_i(x)$  and  $X_j(x)$ . Then there exist at least  $\max(\rho_i, \rho_j)$  such edges.

**Lemma 2.16.**  $(\forall h \neq 0)(\forall x)(x \text{ distinguishes at least } n - 1 \text{ pairs of color } h)$ .

**Proof:** Let us construct a graph  $H$  using the set  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$  of colors as vertex set. Let  $w(i, j)$  be the number of edges of color  $h$  or  $h^{-1}$  from  $X_i(x)$  to  $X_j(x)$ . Put an edge between  $i$  and  $j$  if  $w(i, j) \neq 0$ ; assign weight  $w(i, j)$  to this edge. It follows from Exerciseconn-ex that if there is an  $\{i, j\}$  edge then  $w(i, j) \geq \max(\rho_i, \rho_j)$ .

$H$  is a connected graph. This follows from the primitivity of  $\mathfrak{X}$  (why?). Let  $T$  be a spanning tree of  $H$ . Let us orient  $T$  away from vertex (color) 0.  $x$  distinguishes  $\geq \tau$  edges of color  $h$ , where  $\tau :=$  total weight of edges of  $T$ .

$$\tau = \sum_{i \rightarrow j} w(i, j) \geq \sum_{i \rightarrow j} \rho_j = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \rho_j = n - 1.$$

**Corollary 2.17.**  $D(i) \geq (n - 1)/\rho_i$ .

**Proof:** Count the triples  $N = |\{(x, y, z) \mid c(x, y) = i, z \in D(x, y)\}|$  in two different ways.

Count by  $(x, y)$ . The number of pairs  $(x, y)$  such that  $c(x, y) = i$  is  $n\rho_i$ . For each such pair, there are  $D(i)$  choices for  $z$ . Thus,

$$N = n\rho_i D(i).$$

Now count by  $z$ . There are  $n$  choices for  $z$ . Given  $z$ , there are at least  $n - 1$  pairs  $(x, y)$  distinguished by  $z$ . Thus

$$N = n\rho_i D(i) \geq n(n - 1),$$

and so

$$\rho_i D(i) \geq n - 1.$$

**Corollary 2.18.** *If  $\text{diam}(i) \geq 3$  then  $D(i) \gtrsim \sqrt{n/3}$ .*

**Proof:** Multiplying the expressions for  $D(i)$  from Lemma 2.13 and Corollary 2.17, we get

$$D(i)^2 \geq \frac{\rho_i}{3} \cdot \frac{n - 1}{\rho_i} = \frac{n - 1}{3}.$$

Thus

$$D(i) \geq \sqrt{\frac{n - 1}{3}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

This result, combined with Corollary 2.12, completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

This proof is based on L. Babai: “On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups,” *Annals of Math.* 113 (1981), 553–568, as simplified by N. Zemlyachenko a year later.

**Conjecture 2.19.** *For uniprimitive coherent configurations,  $D_{\min} = \Omega(n - \rho_{\max})$ . (Note that this is true for the average rather than the minimum size of distinguishing sets by Lemma 2.8.)*

Another open question:

**Conjecture 2.20.** *For primitive coherent configurations of rank  $r \geq 4$ ,  $D_{\min} = \Omega(n^{1-1/(r-1)})$ . Or at least  $D_{\min} = \Omega(n^{1-f(r)})$ , where  $f(r) \rightarrow 0$ .*

Note that the first statement is true for  $r = 2$ .

## References

- [1] László Babai: On the order of uniprimitive permutation groups. *Annals of Mathematics* **113** (1981), 553–568.
- [2] László Babai: On the order of doubly transitive permutation groups. *Inventiones Math.* **65** (1982), 473–484.
- [3] Alfred Bochert: Ueber die Zahl der verschiedenen Werthe, die eine Function gegebener Buchstaben durch Vertauschung derselben erlangen kann. *Mathematische Annalen* **33** (1889), 584–590.
- [4] Peter J. Cameron: Finite permutation groups and finite simple groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **13** (1981), 1–22.
- [5] Attila Maróti: On the orders of primitive groups. *J. Algebra* **258(2)** (2002), 631–640.
- [6] László Pyber: On the orders of doubly transitive permutation groups: elementary estimates. *J. Combinat. Theory. Ser. A* **62(2)** (1993), 361–366.
- [7] Cheryl E. Praeger, Jan Saxl: On the orders of primitive permutation groups. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **12** (1980) 303–307.
- [8] Helmut Wielandt: Abschätzungen für den Grad einer Permutationsgruppe von vorgeschriebenem Transitivitätsgrad. Dissertation, Berlin 1934. *Schriften des Math. Seminars und des Instituts für angewandte Mathematik der Universität Berlin* **2** (1934), 151–174.