

# Discrete Math 37110 - Class 12 (2016-11-03)

Instructor: László Babai  
Notes taken by Jacob Burroughs  
Revised by instructor

## 12.1 Monotone subsequences, the Pigeonhole Principle

Given  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , we call  $a_{i_1} < a_{i_2} < \dots < a_{i_r}$  where  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r$  an increasing subsequence, and the reverse a decreasing subsequence.

**Theorem 12.1** (Erdős-Szekeres). *If  $n = k\ell + 1$  then every sequence of length  $n$  of distinct real numbers has an increasing subsequence of length  $k + 1$  or a decreasing subsequence of length  $\ell + 1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume this is false. Let  $x_i$  denote the length of the longest increasing subsequence of which  $a_i$  is the last term, and let  $y_i$  denote the length of the longest decreasing subsequence of which  $a_i$  is the last term. Then for a given  $a_i$  and corresponding  $(x_i, y_i)$   $1 \leq x_i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq y_i \leq \ell$ . So we only have  $k\ell$  options for the pair  $(x_i, y_i)$ . But  $n > k\ell$ , so, by the pigeon-hole principle,  $(x_i, y_i) = (x_j, y_j)$  for some  $i < j$ . But if  $a_i < a_j$ , then  $x_i < x_j$  and if  $a_i > a_j$  then  $y_i < y_j$ . This gives a contradiction, proving the Erdős-Szekeres theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 12.2.** *If  $n = k^2 + 1$ , there exists a monotone subsequence of length  $\geq k + 1$ .*

## 12.2 Inclusion-Exclusion

Let  $A_1, \dots, A_k \subseteq \Omega$  and  $B = \overline{A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k}$

Given  $P(A_i), P(A_i \cap A_j), P(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_\ell)$ , etc., how do we find  $P(B)$ ?

**Theorem 12.3** (Inclusion-Exclusion).  $P(B) = S_0 - S_1 + S_2 - \dots$ , where  $S_0 = 1$ , and  $S_j = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j} P(A_{i_1} \cap A_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_j})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \Omega$ . Let  $r(x) = |\{i \mid x \in A_i\}|$

$x$  was counted  $k_x$  times:  $k_x = \binom{r}{0} - \binom{r}{1} + \binom{r}{2} - \dots = (1 - 1)^r = 0^r = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \square$

**Theorem 12.4** (Restatement of the Inclusion-Exclusion formula).

$$P(B) = \sum_{I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|} P\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right).$$

**DO 12.5.** Show that Theorems 12.3 and 12.4 are equivalent.

We define the indicator of the set  $A \subseteq \Omega$  by setting  $\theta_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \notin A \end{cases}$  for  $x \in \Omega$ .

**DO 12.6.** Show that  $\theta_A \theta_B = \theta_{A \cap B}$

**DO 12.7.** Show that  $\theta_{A \cup B} = \theta_A + \theta_B - \theta_A \theta_B$

**DO 12.8.**

$$(1 + x_1)(1 + x_2) \cdots (1 + x_k) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} \prod_{i \in I} x_i$$

$$(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) \cdots (1 - x_k) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} (-1)^{|I|} \prod_{i \in I} x_i$$

*Alternative proof of Inclusion–Exclusion.* We find that

$$\theta_B = \prod_{I \subseteq [k]} \theta_{\overline{A_I}} = \prod_{I \subseteq [k]} (1 - \theta_{A_I}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} (-1)^{|I|} \theta_{\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i}.$$

Therefore

$$P(B) = E(\theta_B) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} (-1)^{|I|} E(\theta_{\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [k]} (-1)^{|I|} P\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i\right).$$

□

**Definition 12.9.** A *derangement* of a set  $A$  is a fixed-point-free permutation of  $A$ .

**DO 12.10.** Let  $d_n$  denote the probability that a random permutation of the set  $[n]$  is a derangement. Prove:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 1/e$ . In fact,  $\left|d_n - \frac{1}{e}\right| < \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$ .

**DO 12.11.** Bonferroni's inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} P(B) &\leq S_0 \\ P(B) &\geq S_0 - S_1 \\ P(B) &\leq S_0 - S_1 + S_2 \\ P(B) &\geq S_0 - S_1 + S_2 - S_3 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

### 12.3 Planarity, multigraphs, Euler's formula

**Definition 12.12.** A *multigraph*  $G = (V, E, f)$  consists of a set  $V$  of vertices, a set  $E$  of edges, and a map  $f : E \rightarrow V \cup \binom{V}{2}$ ; this map defines the two endpoints of the edge. If the two endpoints are the same, we say that the edge is a *loop*. Note that multiple edges can have the same set of endpoints.

**Definition 12.13.** A *simple arc* is the range of a continuous injection  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  of the  $[0, 1]$  segment into the plane. A *Jordan curve* is the range of a continuous injection of the unit circle in the plane, or equivalently, the range of a continuous map  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f(x) = f(y) \iff x = y$  or  $\{x, y\} = \{0, 1\}$ .

**Definition 12.14.** Given a multigraph  $G$ , a plane embedding  $\tilde{G}$  of  $G$  associates with every vertex a point of the plane and with every edge a simple arc between its endpoints so that those arcs do not intersect except in their shared vertices.

**Definition 12.15.** A multigraph  $G$  is planar if there exists a plane embedding of  $G$ . A *plane (multi)graph* is a (multi)graph embedded in the plane.

**Definition 12.16.** We define a *face* of a plane graph  $\tilde{G}$  as a connected component of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{G}$ . (The connected components are the equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \tilde{G}$  under the relation “equal or accessible by a simple arc.”)

**Theorem 12.17** (Jordan curve Theorem). *A Jordan curve has two faces.*

The  $\leq 2$  part is easy but proving  $\geq 2$  is surprisingly hard.

**Theorem 12.18** (Euler’s formula). *If  $G$  is a connected multigraph and  $\tilde{G}$  a plane embedding of  $G$  then  $\tilde{G}$  satisfies  $n - m + f = 2$ .*

**Remark 12.19.** Note that if  $G$  is a cycle then Euler’s formula is equivalent to the Jordan curve theorem.

**DO 12.20.** Prove: a plane embedding of a tree has one face.    Hint: induction on  $n$ .

**DO 12.21.** Prove Euler’s formula by induction on  $m$ , using the case of trees as the base case. Note where you use the Jordan curve theorem.