### Discrete Math 37110 - Class 19 (2016-12-01)

Instructor: László Babai Notes taken by Jacob Burroughs Partially revised by instructor

Review: Tuesday, December 6, 3:30-5:20 pm; Ry-276 Final: Thursday, December 8, 10:30-12:30; Ry-251

**DO 19.1.** IMPORTANT. Study the relevant chapters of LN (about Markov Chains) and the Linear Algebra online text.

#### 19.1 Similar matrices

**Definition 19.2.** Let  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$  where  $\mathbb{F}$  is a field (i.e.  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ ) A, B are similar if  $\exists C \in M_n(\mathbb{F}), \exists C^{-1}$  such that  $B = C^{-1}AC$ . Notation  $A \sim B$ .

**DO 19.3.** Similarity is an equivalence relation on  $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ 

**DO 19.4.** If  $A \sim B$  then  $\operatorname{trace}(A) = \operatorname{trace}(B)$ . (Hint  $\operatorname{trace}(CD) = \operatorname{trace}(DC)$ )

**DO 19.5.** If  $A \sim B$  then  $\det(A) = \det(B)$ . (Hint  $\det(CD) = \det(C) \det(D)$ )

**DO 19.6.** If  $A \sim B$  then  $f_A = f_B$  (Their characteristic polynomials are equal)

### 19.2 Matrix of a linear map

**Definition 19.7.** A linear transformation is a function  $f: V \to V$  where f(a+b) = f(a) + f(b) and  $f(\lambda a) = \lambda f(a)$ . Equivalently,  $f(\sum \alpha_i a_i) = \sum \alpha_i f(a_i)$ 

**Definition 19.8.** A linear map is a function  $f: V \to W$  with the same attributes.

**DO 19.9.** If  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  are a basis of  $V, w_1, \ldots, w_n$  are arbitrary vectors in W, there exists a unique linear map such that  $(\forall i)(f(v_i) = w_i)$ 

**Definition 19.10.** Coordinates: Let  $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be a basis of V. Then every  $v \in V$  can be uniquely written as  $v = \sum \alpha_i e_i$ . The  $\alpha_i$  are the *coordinates* of v wrt (with respect to) the basis  $\underline{e}$ . Arranged in a column vector, we write  $[v]_{\underline{e}} = (alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^T$  (transpose, to make it a column vector).

### 19.3 Change of basis

**Definition 19.11** (Change of basis matrix). Take two bases:  $\underline{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  (the "old" basis) and  $\underline{e'} = (e'_1, \dots, e'_n)$ . The change of basis matrix is  $S = [[e'_1]_{\underline{e}}, \dots, [e'_n]_{\underline{e}}]$ . (The *i*-th column lists the coordinates of  $e'_i$  wrt  $\underline{e}$ .)

**DO 19.12** (Change of coordinates under change of basis).  $[v]_{\text{new}} = S^{-1}[v]_{\text{old}}$ 

**DO 19.13.** 
$$[\underline{e}]_{\underline{e}'} = [\underline{e}']_{\underline{e}}^{-1}$$

**Definition 19.14** (Matrix of a linear map). Let  $\varphi: V \to W$  be a linear map. Let  $\underline{e} =$  $(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$  be a basis of V and  $\underline{f}=(f_1,\ldots,f_k)$  be a basis of W. The matrix of  $\varphi$  wrt this pair of bases is

$$[\varphi]_{\underline{e},\underline{f}} = [[\varphi(e_1)_{\underline{f}},\ldots,\varphi(e_n)_{\underline{f}}]$$

So this is a  $k \times n$  matrix.

**DO 19.15** (Change of matrix under change of bases). Let us have a linear map  $\varphi: V \to W$ . Let  $\underline{e}, f$  be "old" bases of V and W respectively, and  $\underline{e}', f'$  be new bases. Then define S, Tas the change of basis matrices.

Let 
$$A = [\varphi]_{\underline{e},\underline{f}}$$
 and  $A' = [\varphi]_{\underline{e'},\underline{f'}}$   
Then  $A' = T^{-1}AS$ 

Corollary 19.16. If  $\varphi: V \to V$ , then  $[\varphi]_{new} = S^{-1}[\varphi]_{old}S$ 

Corollary 19.17.  $A \sim B$  if and only if  $\exists \varphi : V \to V$  and bases  $\underline{e}, \underline{e}'$  such that  $A = [\varphi]_e$  and  $B = [\varphi]_{e'}$ 

Corollary 19.18. A linear transformation has a characteristic polynomial. (because similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial)

**Definition 19.19.** A is diagonalizable if  $A \sim$  a diagonal matrix  $= D = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \dots 0 \\ \vdots \ddots \vdots \\ 0 \dots \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$ 

Then 
$$f_A(t) = f_D(t) = \prod (t - \lambda_i)$$

**Example 19.20.**  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  is not diagonalizable (since it is not similar to I).

**DO 19.21.** A matrix is diagonalizable if and only if it has an eigenbasis

Hint:  $A = [\varphi]_{\underline{e}}$  and make  $\underline{e}'$  the eigenbasis. Make  $S = [\underline{e}']_{\underline{e}}$  Then  $S^{-1}AS$  is a diagonal matrix D. You need to show that AS = SD

Corollary 19.22. If  $f_A$  has n distinct roots, then A is diagonalizable.

Caveat: I is diagonalizable, yet it has multiple eigenvalues.

**DO 19.23.** 
$$A \sim B \implies \operatorname{rk} A = \operatorname{rk} B$$

**DO 19.24.**  $(\forall A)(\forall S)$  (if S nonsingular then  $\operatorname{rk}(AS) = \operatorname{rk} A$ )

**DO 19.25.** 
$$\operatorname{rk}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rk}(A)$$
 and  $\operatorname{rk}(AB) \leq \operatorname{rk}(B)$ 

## 19.4 Eigensubspaces. Geometric and algebraic multiplicity of eigenvalues

**Definition 19.26.** Algebraic multiplicity of eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is its multiplicity in the characteristic polynomial, i.e., it is the largest m such that  $(t - \lambda)^m \mid f_A$ .

**Definition 19.27.** Geometric multiplicity: The maximum number of linearly independent eigenvectors to  $\lambda$ :  $U_{\lambda} = \{x \mid Ax = \lambda x\} \leq \mathbb{F}^n$ 

This is the eigensubspace to  $\lambda$ .

**DO 19.28.**  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue if and only if dim  $U_{\lambda} \geq 1$ 

The geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is the dimension of  $U_{\lambda}$ 

**DO 19.29.** dim 
$$U_{\lambda} = n - \text{rk}(\lambda I - A)$$

Hint: Rank-nullity

**DO 19.30.** The geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda$  is less than or equal to the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda$ .

**DO 19.31.** Over  $\mathbb{C}$ :  $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}}$  algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda = n$ .

**DO 19.32.** Over  $\mathbb{C}$ : A is diagonalize if and only if  $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}}$  geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda = n$   $\forall \lambda$ , the algebraic and geometric multiplicities are equal.

DO 19.33. \*

Over  $\mathbb{C}$ , every matrix is similar to a triangular matrix (This is a hint for the above exercise)

### 19.5 Norm, orthogonality in $\mathbb{R}^n$

Over  $\mathbb{R}$ :

The standard dot product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :  $x \cdot y = x^T y = \sum x_i y_i$  x and y are orthogonal if  $x \cdot y = 0$ We define the norm  $||x|| = \sqrt{x \cdot x} = \sqrt{\sum x_i^2}$ 

**DO 19.34.** Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:  $|a \cdot b| \le ||a|| ||b||$ 

**DO 19.35.** Triangle inequality:  $||a + b|| \le ||a|| + ||b||$ 

DO 19.36. Show Cauchy-Schwarz is equivalent to the triangle inequality.

**DO 19.37.** If  $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are orthogonal and non-zero, they are linearly independent.

**Definition 19.38.** The operator norm of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times n}$ :

$$||A|| = \sup \frac{||Ax||}{||x||}$$

DO 19.39. Show this supremum is a maximum.

**DO 19.40.** If 
$$A = (\alpha_{ij})$$
 then  $||A|| \ge |\alpha_{ij}|$ 

**Theorem 19.41** (Spectral theorem). If  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $A = A^T$  (A is a symmetric real matrix)then A has an orthonormal eigenbasis, i.e.,

$$(\exists b_1, \dots b_n \in \mathbb{R}^n)(b_i \cdot b_j = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}, ||b_i|| = 1, \text{ and } Ab_i = \lambda_i b_i)$$

Any orthonormal system of eigenvectors can be extended to an orthonormal eigenbasis.

**DO 19.42.** If 
$$A = A^T$$
 (A is symmetric) then  $||A|| = |\lambda|_{\max} = \max_i |\Lambda_i|$ . Hint: Spectral theorem

#### 19.6 Elements of spectral graph theory

**DO 19.43.** A connected undirected graph is aperiodic if and only if it is not bipartite.

**Definition 19.44.** The adjacency matrix of a graph: 
$$A_g = (a_{ij})$$
 where  $a_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i \sim j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$ 

**DO 19.45.** The graph G is regular of degree r if and only if  $\mathbf{1}$  is an eigenvector to eigenvalue r.

**DO 19.46.** For an r-regular graph G, let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix. (Note: these are real because the adjacency matrix is symmetric.)  $\forall \lambda, |\lambda| \leq r$ 

**DO 19.47.** Let G be a regular graph of degree r with eigenvalues  $r = \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_n$ . Prove:  $\lambda_2 = r$  if and only if G is disconnected. (a) Show that this follows from Perron-Frobenius. (b) Prove this without using Perron-Frobenius.

**DO 19.48.** Let G be a connected regular graph of degree r. Then -r is an eigenvalue if and only if G is bipartite.

# 19.7 Rate of convergence of random walk on a graph: spectral estimate

**Definition 19.49** (SLEM). Let A be a symmetric real matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ . Then SLEM $(A) = \max_i |\lambda_i| = \max_i |\lambda_2|, |\lambda_n|$  (second largest eigenvalue modulus)

Notation. J is the all-ones matrix.

**Theorem 19.50** (Convergence rate of naive random walk on regular graph). Let G be a connected regular non-bipartite graph. Let A be the adjacency matrix of A. So T = (1/r)A is the transition matrix of the naive random walk on G. Then  $\lim T^t = \frac{1}{n}J$  and  $\|T^t - \frac{1}{n}J\| \leq \lambda^t$  where  $\lambda$  is SLEM(T) = (1/r)SLEM(A) (so  $0 < \lambda < 1$ ).

*Proof.* We shall show that the maximum absolute value of the eigenvalues of  $T^t - \frac{1}{n}J$  is  $\lambda^t$ . The all-ones vector  $\mathbf{1}$  is an eigenvector of T to eigenvalue 1. Let  $e_1$  be its normalized value:  $e_1 = (1/\sqrt{n})\mathbf{1}$ . Let  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  be an orthonormal eigenbasis of T. We claim that  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  is also an orthonormal eigenbasis of  $T^t - (1/n)J$ .

For  $i \geq 2$  we have  $e_i \perp e_1$  and therefore  $e_i \perp \mathbf{1}$ . Therefore, for  $i \geq 2$  we have  $Je_i = 0$  and therefore  $(T^t - (1/n)J)e_i = T^te_i = \lambda_i^t e_i$ . Also,  $T^te_1 = e_1$  (because T is stochastic and therefore  $T^t$  is stochastic), and the same holds for (1/n)J, so  $(1/n)Je_1 = e_1$ . Therefore  $(T^t - (1/n)J)e_1 = 0$ . So  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  form an orthonormal eigenbasis of  $T^t - (1/n)J$  with eigenvalues (in this order)  $0, \lambda_2^t, \ldots, \lambda_n^t$ . The maximum absolute value among these is therefore  $\lambda^t$ , as claimed.