

## Graph Isomorphism course, Spring 2017

Instructor: László Babai

Notes by Angela Wu and the instructor

Thursday, May 18, 2017

## 16 Day 16, ThWk8

### 16.1 Quiz questions

Discussed answers to # 1–4.

### 16.2 Socle of primitive permutation groups

**Lemma 16.1.** *The centralizer of a regular permutation group  $H \leq S_n$  in  $S_n$  is regular and is isomorphic to  $H$ .*

*Proof.* We can identify a regular group with its right regular representation. Suppose  $H \curvearrowright \Omega$  is regular. Identify this  $H$ -action with  $H_R$  (the right regular action of  $H$ ). From before,  $C_{\text{Sym}(H)}(H_R) = H_L \cong H$ .  $\square$

**DO 16.2.** If  $N_1, N_2 \triangleleft G$ , then  $[N_1, N_2] \leq N_1 \cap N_2$ .

**Theorem 16.3.** *If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is primitive, then it has at most 2 minimal normal subgroups; if there are two, both are regular and they are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $M_1 \neq M_2$  are minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . Then,  $M_1 \cap M_2 = 1$  (by minimality) and therefore  $[M_1, M_2] = 1$  (by exercise 16.2). Since  $M_1$  centralizes  $M_2$  and  $M_2$  is transitive,  $M_1$  is semiregular. Since  $M_1$  is also transitive,  $M_1$  is regular. By the same argument, so is  $M_2$ .

By Lemma 16.1 we conclude that  $M_2 = C_{\text{Sym}(\Omega)}(M_1)$  and  $M_1 \cong M_2$ . If there was a third minimal normal subgroup  $M_3 \neq M_1$ , then by the same argument  $M_3 = C_{\text{Sym}(\Omega)}(M_1)$ , so  $M_3 = M_2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.4.** *If  $G$  is primitive, then  $\text{Soc}(G)$  is characteristically simple. So  $\text{Soc}(G) = T^k$  for some simple group  $T$  and some  $k \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M_1$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $M_1$  is characteristically simple, so  $M_1 \cong T^k$  for some simple group  $T$ . If  $M_1 = \text{Soc}(G)$ , we are done. Alternatively,  $\text{Soc}(G) = M_1 \times M_2$  where  $M_2 \cong M_1$  so  $\text{Soc}(G) \cong T^{2k}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 16.5.** *If  $G$  is primitive and has 2 minimal normal subgroups, then  $|G| \leq n^{1+\log_2 n}$ .*

*Proof.* In this case  $M$  is regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.6.** *If  $G \leq S_n$  is primitive and  $|G| > n^{1+\log_2 n}$ , then  $\text{Soc}(G)$  is nonabelian and the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

**Theorem 16.7** (Cameron (1981) and Maróti, CFSG). *Let  $n \geq 25$ . If a primitive permutation group  $G \leq S_n$  satisfies  $|G| > n^{1+\log_2 n}$ , then  $n = \binom{m}{t}^\ell$  and  $\text{Soc}(G) \cong (A_m)^\ell$ , and*

$$(A_m^{(t)})^\ell \leq G \leq A_m^{(t)} \wr S_\ell. \quad (1)$$

### 16.3 Continuing Luks's approach

We are in the situation where the ambient group  $G$  is transitive. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a minimal system of imprimitivity (blocks are maximal). Write  $b = |\mathcal{B}|$ . We have

$$\psi : G \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G} \leq \text{Sym}(\mathcal{B}) = S_b.$$

This action is primitive, so  $\tilde{G}$  is a primitive group.

Suppose that  $\tilde{G}$  is large:  $b \geq 25$  and  $|\tilde{G}| > b^{1+\log_2 b}$ . We apply Cameron's theorem above to  $\tilde{G}$ . So we have  $b = \binom{m}{t}^\ell$  and  $\tilde{G}$  satisfies Equation (1). This equation yields a homomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{G} \rightarrow S_\ell$ . Let now  $\varphi : G \rightarrow S_\ell$  denote the composition of  $\psi$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}$ ; so this is a transitive  $G$ -action on  $[\ell]$ . Let  $K = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$ .

► **Easy case**  $\ell \geq 2$

In this case, our recipe is to DESCEND to  $\text{Ker } \varphi$ .

**DO 16.8.** In this case,  $|G : \text{Ker } \varphi| \leq b$ .

We need to compensate this multiplicative cost by significant progress. Progress will be measured by a dramatic reduction of the parameter  $b$ .

**DO 16.9.** (a) If  $m \geq 5$  and  $m \neq 6$  then  $\text{Aut}(S_m) = S_m$ , i.e.,  $\text{Out}(S_m) = 1$ , but  $|\text{Out}(S_6)| = 2$ .

(b) For  $m \geq 5$  and  $m \neq 6$ ,  $\text{Aut}(A_m) = S_m$ . For  $m = 6$ ,  $|\text{Aut}(A_m) : S_m| = 2$ .

Use the preceding exercise to prove the following.

**DO 16.10.** If  $m \geq 7$ , then  $(A_m^{(t)})^\ell \leq \text{Ker } \varphi \leq (S_m^{(t)})^\ell$ .

**DO 16.11.** The group  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  has a system of imprimitivity consisting of  $\binom{m}{t}$  blocks.

Now  $b = \binom{m}{t}^\ell$  so the number of blocks of  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  is  $\binom{m}{t} \leq \sqrt{b}$ , indeed a dramatic reduction (cannot be repeated more than  $\log \log n$  times).

► **Hard case**  $\ell = 1$

We have a Johnson group. This is where Luks's approach breaks down: there is no obvious way to reduce a meaningful parameter.

In this case,  $b = \binom{m}{t}$ . The action is  $S_m^{(t)} \cong S_m$  or  $A_m^{(t)} \cong A_m$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the domain with  $|\Gamma| = m$ .

$$G \twoheadrightarrow \tilde{G} \cong \text{giant}(m) \curvearrowright \Gamma,$$

where the action on  $\Gamma$  is as a giant.

Our job is to find a subgroup  $M \leq \text{Sym}(\Gamma)$  of exponential (in  $m$ ) index such that  $\varphi(\text{Aut}(x)) \leq M$  where  $x$  is our input string.

As an intermediate step, we shall find a canonical structure, such as a graph, on  $\Gamma$ . We then use this structure to find one of the following, at quasipolynomial multiplicative cost.

- Canonical coloring with no dominant color
- Canonical equipartition of the dominant color class
- Canonical Johnson graph on the dominant color class

## 16.4 Symmetry defect

Let  $X = (V, E)$  be a graph. We say that two vertices  $u, v$  are **twins** if the transposition  $(u, v)$  is an automorphism of  $X$ .

**DO 16.12.** The “twin or equal” relation is an equivalence relation on  $V$ .

Let  $T$  be a largest equivalence class of this equivalence relation. We call the proportion  $|T|/|V|$  the **symmetricity** of  $X$  and  $1 - |T|/|V|$  the **symmetry defect** of  $X$ .

**DO 16.13.** (a) The symmetry defect of a graph and its complement are the same.

(b) The symmetry defect of the complete graph is 0.

(c) The symmetry defect of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{r,s}$  is  $\min(r/n, s/n)$  where  $n = r + s$ .

(d) The symmetry defect of the disjoint union of the complete graphs  $K_{n_1}, \dots, K_{n_k}$  is  $1 - n_j/n$  where  $n_j = \max(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  and  $n = \sum_i n_i$ .

**HW 16.14.** (a) Prove: the symmetry defect of a nontrivial regular graph is  $\geq 1/2$ . (In other words, the symmetricity of a nontrivial regular graph is  $\leq 1/2$ .) (b) Prove that this bound is tight for all even values of  $n$ , the number of vertices.

(A graph is *nontrivial* if it is not empty or complete.)

Check website for assigned reading, and additional homework problems.