

## Graph Isomorphism course, Spring 2017

Instructor: László Babai

Notes by Angela Wu

Tuesday, April 18, 2017

## 7 Day 7, TWk4

### 7.1 Regular permutation groups

**Definition 7.1** (Cayley). A **right regular representation** of  $G$  is the representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(G)$ ,  $\rho(g) : x \mapsto xg$ , where  $g \in G$  acts as right translation by  $g$ . This is a “permutation representation.”

**DO 7.2.**  $\rho$  is faithful, or,  $\text{Ker}(\rho) = 1$ .

We define  $G_R = \text{Img}(\rho) \leq \text{Sym}(G)$ .

**DO 7.3.**  $G_R$  is transitive.

**DO 7.4.**  $|G_x| = 1$ .

A permutation group  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is **regular** if it is transitive and,  $(\forall x \in \Omega)(|H_x| = 1)$ . Notice that the  $\forall$  can be replaced by  $\exists$  since  $H$  is transitive. A regular permutation group  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  satisfies  $|H| = |\Omega|$ .

**Definition 7.5.** Let  $f_i : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be two permutation representations of the group  $G$ . We say that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are *equivalent* if there is a bijection  $\psi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$  such that  $(\forall g \in G)(f_1(g)\psi = \psi f_2(g))$ . We say that the permutation groups  $G_i \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are equivalent if there is a bijection  $\psi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$  such that  $G_2 = \psi^{-1}G_1\psi$ .

**DO 7.6.** Prove: the groups  $G_i \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are equivalent if and only if there exists a group  $G$  that has two equivalent permutation representations  $f_i : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(\Omega_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), such that  $\text{Img}(f_i) = G_i$ .

**DO 7.7.** Prove: If  $G$  is a regular permutation group then  $G$  is equivalent to  $G_R$  (and therefore also to  $G_L$ ).

Hint: Suppose  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is regular. Pick  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Then the map  $H \rightarrow \Omega$  given by  $h \mapsto x_0^h$  is a bijection. (End Hint)

**Definition 7.8.** A permutation group  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is **semiregular** if  $(\forall x \in \Omega)(|H_x| = 1)$ .

So,  $H$  is regular if and only if it is transitive and semiregular.

**DO 7.9.** Each orbit of a semiregular permutation group  $H$  has length  $|H|$  (because  $= |H : H_x|$ ).

**Definition 7.10.** The **left regular representation**  $G \curvearrowright G$  given by  $\lambda : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(G)$ ,  $\lambda(g) : x \mapsto g^{-1}x$ .

**DO 7.11.**  $\lambda(gh) = \lambda(g)\lambda(h)$ .

**DO 7.12.**  $G_L := \text{Img}(\lambda) \leq \text{Sym}(G)$  is a regular permutation group isomorphic to  $G$ .

**Definition 7.13.** Let  $S \subseteq G$ . The **centralizer of  $S$  in  $G$**  is the subgroup  $C_G(S) := \{g \in G : (\forall s \in S)(gs = sg)\}$  of  $G$ .

**Claim 7.14.**  $[G_L, G_R] = 1$ , i.e.,  $G_L \leq C_{\text{Sym}(G)}(G_R)$  and vice versa.

**HW 7.15.** Show the following.

(a) If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is transitive, then  $C_{\text{Sym}(\Omega)}(G)$  is semiregular.

(b) If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is semiregular, then  $C_{\text{Sym}(\Omega)}(G)$  is transitive.

**Corollary 7.16.** If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is regular, then  $C_{\text{Sym}(\Omega)}(G)$  is regular.

**Corollary 7.17.**  $C_{\text{Sym}(G)}(G_L) = G_R$ .

*Proof.* We know that the centralizer  $C \geq G_R$ , and a proper supergroup of  $G_R$  cannot be regular.  $\square$

**HW 7.18.** If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is primitive and  $1 \neq N \triangleleft G$ , then  $N$  is transitive.

**Corollary 7.19.** If  $G$  is primitive and  $1 \neq N \triangleleft G$  and  $N$  is abelian, then  $N$  is regular.

Follows from below DO exercise.

**DO 7.20.** If  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is transitive and abelian, then it is regular.

## 7.2 A bound on the order of primitive and solvable permutation groups

**Corollary 7.21.** If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is primitive and solvable, then  $|G| \leq n^{1+\log_2(n)}$ , where  $n = |\Omega|$ .

*Proof.* First, for  $N \triangleleft G$ , we consider the action  $G \curvearrowright N$  by conjugation, given by  $g : x \mapsto x^g = g^{-1}xg$  for  $x \in n$ . Notice that  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{g \in G : (\forall x \in N)(x^g = x)\} = C_G(N)$ .

Let  $N \triangleleft_{\min} G$  ( $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup in  $G$ ). Then  $N$  is characteristically simple. Then,  $N = T \times \cdots \times T$ , where  $T$  is simple. If  $T$  is solvable, then  $T \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^k$ .

Notice that  $N$  is transitive. Since  $N$  is abelian,  $N$  is regular and  $n = p^k$ . So  $\phi : G \curvearrowright N$  by conjugation. Then,  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = C_G(N) = N$ . From the lemma below,  $\text{Img}(\phi) \cong G/N$ , so  $G/N \leq \text{Aut}(N) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k) = \text{GL}(k, p)$  (DO below).

We estimate  $|\text{GL}(k, p)| \leq |M^{k \times k}(\mathbb{F}_p)| = p^{k^2}$ . So, we find that  $|G| \leq |N||G/N| \leq p^{k^2}p^k = n^{k+1} = n^{1+\log_p n} \leq n^{1+\log_2 n}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.22** (No longer HW, follows from above corollary). If  $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is primitive and solvable, then  $|\Omega| = p^k$  (a prime power).

**Lemma 7.23.** If  $H \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is regular and abelian, then  $C_{\text{Sym}(\Omega)}(H) = H$ .

*Proof.*  $C(H) \geq H$ . But  $C(H)$  is regular, so this cannot be a proper inclusion.  $\square$

**DO 7.24.**  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p^k) = \text{GL}(k, p)$ .

**HW 7.25.** If  $N \triangleleft G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$  is regular, then  $|G| \leq n^{1+\log_2 n}$ , where  $n = |\Omega|$ .

For two subsets  $A, B \subseteq G$ , we denote by  $A \cdot B = AB = \{ab : a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

**DO 7.26.** Suppose  $K, L \leq G$ . Then  $KL \leq G$  if and only if  $KL = LK$ .

Notice that  $G_R G_L = G_L G_R \leq \text{Sym}(G)$ .

**HW 7.27.** For what groups  $G$  is  $G_L G_R$  primitive? Give a very simple characterization.

### 7.3 Graph isomorphism!

**Definition 7.28.** GRAPH ISOMORPHISM (GI) PROBLEM

**Input:** Graphs  $X, Y$ .

**Question:** Decide the question “Is  $X \cong Y$ ?”

We denote by  $\text{ISO}(X, Y) : \{f : X \rightarrow Y \text{ isomorphisms}\}$  the set of graph isomorphisms from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

$$\text{DO 7.29. } \text{ISO}(X, Y) = \begin{cases} \phi & \text{if } X \not\cong Y \\ \text{Aut}(X)\sigma & \text{if } X \cong Y, \text{ for } \sigma \in \text{ISO}(X, X) \end{cases}$$

If  $G \leq S_n$ , we know that the minimum number of generators is  $\leq \log_2(n!) < n \log_2 n$ .

**Theorem 7.30** (Babai 1987). *Every subgroup chain in  $S_n$  has length  $\leq 2n - 3$ .*

**Corollary 7.31.** *Every non-redundant set of generators of a subgroup of  $S_n$  has  $\leq 2n - 3$  generators.*

**Definition 7.32.** MEMBERSHIP PROBLEM IN PERMUTATION GROUPS

**Input:**  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k, \tau \in S_n$ .

**Question:**  $\tau \in \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \rangle$ ?

**Theorem 7.33** (Furst-Hopcroft-Luks (1980)). MEMBERSHIP IN PERMUTATION GROUPS *can be solved in polynomial time.*

C. C. Sims (1960s) first gave a polynomial-time algorithm, without analysis. His algorithm was analyzed by Knuth, 1982-89.

**DO\* 7.34.** GI decision problem is Cook-equivalent (polynomial time Turing-equivalent) to finding the set of isomorphisms. Also, GI is equivalent to finding an isomorphism (if it exists).

Note: the \* is a “very little star.”

**DO 7.35.** Isomorphism of digraphs is Karp-reducible (polynomial time many-one-reduction) to Isomorphism of graphs. In other words, there exists a polynomial-time algorithm that solves the following.

**Input:** digraphs  $X, Y$

**Output:** graphs  $X', Y'$ , such that  $X \cong Y \iff X' \cong Y'$ .

**Definition 7.36.** A vertex-colored graph is a triple  $X = (V, E, f)$ , where  $(V, E)$  is a graph and  $f : V \rightarrow \{\text{colors}\}$  is a coloring of the vertices. Here  $\{\text{colors}\}$  is an ordered set, usually of the form  $[k]$  where  $k$  is the number of colors used. Isomorphisms of vertex-colored graphs preserve the vertex colors by definition.

**DO 7.37.** Isomorphism of vertex-colored graphs is Karp reducible to isomorphism of graphs.

**Definition 7.38.** A coloring  $g : V \rightarrow \{\text{colors}\}$  is a refinement of the coloring  $f : V \rightarrow \{\text{colors}\}$  if the associated partition of  $V$  is a refinement, i.e.,  $(\forall x, y \in V)(g(x) = g(y) \implies f(x) = f(y))$ .

## 7.4 Naive vertex refinement — a heuristic idea

NAIVE REFINEMENT STEP

**Input:** a vertex-colored graph  $X = (V, E, f)$

**Output:** a refined coloring  $g$  defined as follows.

For  $x \in V$  let  $h(x) = (f(x); \deg_i(x) \mid i \in \{\text{colors}\})$  where  $\deg_i(x)$  denotes the number of neighbors of  $x$  of color  $i$ .

Now sort the strings  $h(x)$  ( $x \in V$ ) lexicographically and let  $g(x) = j$  if  $h(x)$  is the  $j$ -th string in the lexicographic order.

Naive refinement is the following algorithm:

NAIVE REFINEMENT

**repeat** call NAIVE REFINEMENT STEP

**until** partition stable

**Definition 7.39** (Equitable partition). Let  $X = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $V = C_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup C_k$  be a partition of its vertex set. We say that this partition is *equitable* if

1. For all  $i$ ,  $X[C_i]$  (the induced subgraph on vertices in  $C_i$ ) is regular.
2. For all  $i, j$ , the graph given by  $X[C_i, C_j]$  (the induced bipartite graph on  $C_i \times C_j$ ) is semiregular.

A coloring  $f$  splits  $V$  into color classes:  $V = C_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup C_k$  where  $C_i = f^{-1}(i)$ .

**DO 7.40.** The coloring  $f$  is stable under naive refinement if and only if the corresponding partition is equitable.

**Theorem 7.41** (Babai-Erdős-Selkow (1979)). *For almost all graphs, naive refinement completely splits the graph in 2 rounds.*

**Challenge 7.42** (Abe Mowshowitz, 1970). If the characteristic polynomial of  $A_X$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then naive refinement completely splits the graph.