

## Solution to problem 13.210 (assignment HW7c)

---

**13.210.** What is the expected number of Aces in a poker hand? Show all your work. State the size of your sample space. Make sure you give a clear definition of the probability space and the random variables you introduce; the definition of your random variables will account for half the credit.

---

The answer is **5/13**, the number of cards in a poker hand times the proportion of Aces among the cards. We give two proofs of this simple and plausible answer. Both proofs will give intuitive explanations of the answer.

*First proof.* Let  $\Omega_1$  denote the set of 52 cards in the standard deck, so  $|\Omega_1| = 52$ . Let  $\Omega_2$  denote the set of poker hands, so  $|\Omega_2| = \binom{52}{5}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  denote the sample space for this problem  $X$  the random variable that counts the Aces in the poker hand. So  $X$  is a function with domain  $\Omega$ . This immediately rules out the naive answer that  $\Omega := \Omega_1$ . The natural answer is  $\Omega := \Omega_2$  since  $X$  is a function of the poker hand and now each poker hand is an elementary event. So now  $|\Omega| = \binom{52}{5}$ . Even this answer will be problematic, as we shall see below. In any case, for the definition of  $X$  we need to take the uniform distribution on  $\Omega_2$ .

$X$  counts, so we want to write  $X$  as a sum of indicator variables. What does  $X$  count? It counts the cards in the poker hand that are Aces. So, for  $i \in [5]$ , let  $Y_i$  denote the indicator of the event that the  $i$ -th card in the poker hand is an Ace. Now we have

$$(1) \quad X = \sum_{i=1}^5 Y_i.$$

The trouble is, the random variable  $Y_i$  cannot be defined on the sample space  $\Omega_2$ : each element of  $\Omega_2$  is an unordered set of 5 cards, there is no such thing as the first card, etc.

So we need to update our sample space so that each element of the sample space defines a first card, a second card, etc. Let  $\Omega_3 :=$  the set of ordered 5-tuples of cards. If we want our variables  $Y_i$  to make sense, we need to choose  $\Omega := \Omega_3$  (with the uniform distribution). So now  $|\Omega| = |\Omega_3| = 52 \cdot 51 \cdot 50 \cdot 49 \cdot 48$ . This choice should be the first sentence of the solution; after this, we can define  $Y_i$  and state Equation (1).

Only after this preparation can we apply the linearity of expectation to Equation (1), with the result that

$$(2) \quad E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^5 E(Y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^5 P(Y_i = 1).$$

The event “ $Y_i = 1$ ” is the event that the  $i$  card is an Ace. Since every card has an equal chance of being the  $i$ -th card, and there are 4 Aces, the probability of this event is  $4/52 = 1/13$ . So our conclusion is

$$(3) \quad E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^5 \frac{1}{13} = \frac{5}{13}.$$

We still have a technical step to do.

We need to justify the move from sample space  $\Omega_2$  (where  $X$  is naturally defined) to  $\Omega_3$ , where technically we have a different variable,  $X'$ , that has the same intuitive meaning (the number of Aces in the hand) but is a different function because it has a different domain.

We need to show that  $E(X) = E(X')$ . We notice that what we did was we blew up each elementary event in  $\Omega_2$  to  $5! = 120$  elementary events in  $\Omega_3$  without changing the value of  $X$ .

Formally, for each  $a \in \Omega_2$  (an unordered poker hand), let  $B_a$  denote the set of orderings of  $a$ . So  $|B_a| = 5! = 120$ , and the sets  $B_a$  partition  $\Omega_3$ . Moreover, the value of  $X'$  is the same on all these 120 elementary events, namely,  $(\forall a \in \Omega_2)(\forall b \in B_a)(X'(b) = X(a))$ .

Therefore

$$(4) \quad E(X') = \sum_{b \in \Omega_3} \frac{X'(b)}{|\Omega_3|} = \sum_{a \in \Omega_2} \sum_{b \in B_a} \frac{X(b)}{|\Omega_3|} = \sum_{a \in \Omega_2} X(a) \cdot \frac{|B_a|}{|\Omega_3|} = \sum_{a \in \Omega_2} \frac{X(a)}{|\Omega_2|} = E(X).$$

This completes the first proof.

QED

The second proof (next page) will avoid this technical issue by choosing a different set of indicator variables.

*Second proof.* For this proof we use the sample space  $\Omega_2$  (of size  $\binom{52}{5}$ ) (with the uniform distribution).

Let  $S$  denote the set of four suits,  $S = \{\spadesuit, \heartsuit, \diamondsuit, \clubsuit\}$ . For  $s \in S$ , let  $Z_s$  denote the indicator of the event that the Ace of suit  $s$  is in the poker hand. So, for instance,  $Z_{\spadesuit}$  indicates the event that the Ace of spades is in the hand. Note that the random variables  $Z_s$  are defined on the domain  $\Omega_2$ . We again have

$$(5) \quad X = \sum_{s \in S} Z_s.$$

Therefore

$$(6) \quad E(X) = \sum_{s \in S} E(Z_s) = \sum_{s \in S} P(Z_s = 1).$$

The event “ $Z_s = 1$ ” is the event that the Ace of suit  $s$  is in the hand. There are  $\binom{51}{4}$  poker hands that include the Ace of suit  $s$  (why?), so the probability in question is

$$(7) \quad P(Z_s = 1) = \frac{\binom{51}{4}}{\binom{52}{5}} = \frac{5!}{4!} \cdot \frac{51 \cdots 48}{52 \cdot 51 \cdots 48} = \frac{5}{52}.$$

The conclusion is that

$$(8) \quad E(X) = \sum_{s \in S} P(Z_s = 1) = \sum_{s \in S} \frac{5}{52} = 4 \cdot \frac{5}{52} = \frac{5}{13}. \quad \text{QED}$$

---

Remark. The second solution involved a little calculation (Eq. (7)) that detracts from its intuitive nature. By moving to the sample space  $\Omega_3$  we can avoid even this calculation. For  $i \in [5]$ , let  $U_{i,s}$  be the indicator of the event that the  $i$ -th card is the Ace of suit  $s$ . Then  $Z_s = \sum_{i=1}^5 U_{i,s}$ . Now  $E(U_{i,s}) = P(U_{i,s} = 1)$  which is the probability that the  $i$  card is the Ace of suit  $s$ . This probability is  $1/52$  because each card has equal probability to be the  $i$ -th card. Therefore  $E(Z_s) = \sum_{i=1}^5 E(U_{i,s}) = \sum_{i=1}^5 1/52 = 5/52$ , as claimed in Eq. (7).