

## Assignment **ORD 7b**

Solutions by **Ayawa Xing**      `awx856(at)u.e.`

---

This document contains my solutions to the Gradescope assignment named on the top of this page. Specifically, my solutions to the following problems are included:

- 4.12 (a)(c)    (page 2)
- 4.13 (a)(b)(c)    (pages 3–5)
- 4.17 (a)(b)    (page 6)

I did not forget

- to REFRESH my browser for the latest information about each problem
- to link problems to pages.  
This page is linked to the problems I did not solve.
- to update the items marked \*\*\* in the template (my name, email, the Gradescope title of the assignment, the list of problems solved, the `\thead` statements (left page headers: list of (sub)problems solved on each page)
- to make sure no subproblem solution spills over to the next page (except when this is unavoidable, i.e., when the solution to a subproblem does not fit on a page)
- if a problem takes more than one page, I linked each of those pages to the problem
- I took care not to defeat the mechanisms provided by this template.

With each problem, **I stated my sources and collaborations.**

By submitting this solution *I certify* that

*my statement of sources and collaborations is accurate and complete.*

I understand that without this certification, my solutions will not be accepted.

4.12(a) Question.

Prove the identity

$$(1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}$$

*Sources and collaborations.*

Marny Dillon suggested to look up “Vandermonde’s Identity.”  
in Wikipedia

*Answer.*

(your proof here)

□

4.12 (c) Question.

Prove that in a tree, all longest paths share a vertex.

*Sources and collaborations.* I found this at

<http://hpca23.cse.wamu.edu/weevil/~jhl/discretebook/chap4.pdf/>

*Answer.*

(your proof here)

□

4.13 (a) Question.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $x = 3a - 5$  and  $y = 7a - 8$ . Prove:  $\gcd(x, y)$  is either 1 or 11.

*Sources and collaborations.* Discussed with Marny Dillon. We figured this out together.

*Answer.*

Let  $d = \gcd(x, y)$ . Then  $d \mid 3y - 7x = 11$ . Since 11 is a prime, its only positive divisors are 1 and 11.  $\square$

4.13(b) Question.

Find a value of  $a$  such that  $\gcd(x, y) = 11$ .

*Sources and collaborations.* Discussed with Marny Dillon. Marny simplified my more complicated idea. Marny suggested the idea of the general solution; I worked out the details by myself.

*Answer.*

Take  $a = 9$ . Then  $x = 22$  and  $y = 55$ , so  $\gcd(x, y) = 11$ .  $\square$

Comment. The general solution is

$$\gcd(x, y) = 11 \iff a \equiv -2 \pmod{11}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $3x - 7y = 11$ , we have that  $11 \mid x \iff 11 \mid y$ . So  $\gcd(x, y) = 11 \iff 11 \mid x \iff 11 \mid 3a - 5 \iff 3a \equiv 5 \pmod{11}$ . Multiplying both sides by 4 which is relatively prime to 11 we see that  $3a \equiv 5 \pmod{11} \iff 12a \equiv 20 \pmod{11}$ . But  $12a \equiv a \pmod{11}$  and  $20 \equiv -2 \pmod{11}$ .  $\square$

## 4.13(c) Question.

For what values  $a$  and  $b$  is it the case that

$$\gcd(a + b, a - b) = \gcd(a, b) \quad ?$$

*Sources and collaborations.* None.

*Answer.*

For an integer  $x$ , let  $\ell(x)$  denote the largest  $k$  such that  $2^k \mid x$ . If no largest  $k$  exists, we write  $\ell(x) = \infty$ . For instance,  $\ell(12) = 2$  and  $\ell(9) = 0$  and  $\ell(0) = \infty$ .

**Claim.**  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = \gcd(a, b)$  if and only if either  $a = b = 0$  or  $\ell(a) \neq \ell(b)$ .

*Proof.* If  $\gcd(a, b) = 0$  then  $a = b = 0$  and therefore both sides of the “if and only if” statement are true:  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = \gcd(0, 0) = \gcd(a, b)$ , and  $a = b = 0$ .

Assume now that  $a = 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . In this case again both sides of the “if and only if” statement are true:  $\gcd(a, b) = |b| = \gcd(a + b, a - b)$ , and  $\ell(a) \neq \ell(b)$  because  $\ell(a) = \infty$  and  $\ell(b)$  is finite.

This also settles the case when  $a \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$  (by switching the roles of  $a$  and  $b$ ).

Henceforth we assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ .

In particular,  $\gcd(a, b) \neq 0$ .

First we prove the “**only if**” direction. In this part, we have:

**Assumption:**  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = \gcd(a, b)$ .

**Desired conclusion:**  $\ell(a) \neq \ell(b)$ .

*Proof* by contradiction. Assume for a contradiction that  $\ell(a) = \ell(b) =: k$ . Let  $a' = a/2^k$  and  $b' = b/2^k$ . Then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(2^k a', 2^k b') = 2^k \gcd(a', b')$  and similarly  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = 2^k \gcd(a' + b', a' - b')$ . So our assumption is equivalent to saying that  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b') = \gcd(a', b')$ .

But now both  $a'$  and  $b'$  are odd, therefore  $\gcd(a' b')$  is odd and  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b')$  is even (because both  $a' + b'$  and  $a' - b'$  are even), a contradiction with the assumption that  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b') = \gcd(a', b')$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the “only if” direction.  $\square$

Now we prove the “**if**” direction. In this part, we have:

**Assumption:**  $\ell(a) \neq \ell(b)$ .

**Desired conclusion:**  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = \gcd(a, b)$ .

We proceed by first proving a pair of Lemma and a Corollary.

**Lemma 1.** For all  $a$  and  $b$  we have  $\gcd(a, b) \mid \gcd(a + b, a - b)$ .

(Note: “for all  $a$  and  $b$ ” includes the cases when  $a$  or  $b$  is zero.)

*Proof.* Let  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ . Then (by the additivity of divisibility) we have  $d \mid a + b$  and  $d \mid a - b$ , and therefore,  $d \mid \gcd(a + b, a - b)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** For all  $a$  and  $b$  we have  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) \mid 2 \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D \mid a + b$  and  $D \mid a - b$ . Then (again by the additivity of divisibility) we have  $D \mid (a + b) + (a - b) = 2a$  and  $D \mid (a + b) - (a - b) = 2b$ , and therefore,  $D \mid \gcd(2a, 2b) = 2 \gcd(a, b)$ , proving Lemma 2.  $\square$

Corollary. For all  $a$  and  $b$ , the value of  $\gcd(a + b, a - b)$  is either equal to  $\gcd(a, b)$  or to  $2 \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ .

First consider the case  $\gcd(a, b) = 0$ . In this case  $a = b = 0$  and therefore  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = \gcd(0, 0) = 0$ .

Assume now that  $\gcd(a, b) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 1, there exists an integer  $x$  such that  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = x \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ . So by Lemma 2,  $x \cdot \gcd(a, b) \mid 2 \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ . Since  $\gcd(a, b) \neq 0$ , we conclude that  $x \mid 2$  and therefore  $x = \pm 1$  or  $x = \pm 2$ . Since  $x \geq 0$  (because every gcd is by definition  $\geq 0$ ), we conclude that  $x = 1$  or  $2$ , completing the proof of the Corollary.  $\square$

Now back to the **proof of the “if” direction**. We continue to assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ .

WLOG (without loss of generality) we may assume that  $\ell(a) < \ell(b)$ . Let  $k = \ell(a)$  and let  $a' = a/2^k$  and  $b' = b/2^k$ . Now  $a'$  is odd and  $b'$  is even.

As before, we have  $\gcd(a, b) = 2^k \gcd(a', b')$  and  $\gcd(a + b, a - b) = 2^k \gcd(a' + b', a' - b')$ . So to prove our desired conclusion, it suffices to prove that  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b') = \gcd(a', b')$ .

Proof by contradiction. Assume  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b') \neq \gcd(a', b')$ . Then, by the Corollary,  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b') = 2 \cdot \gcd(a', b')$ . This means  $\gcd(a' + b', a' - b')$  is even. But this is impossible because now both  $a' + b'$  and  $a' - b'$  are odd. This contradiction completes the proof of the Claim.  $\square$

4.17 (a) Question.

Assume  $589 \nmid a$ . Does it follow that  $a^{588} \equiv 1 \pmod{589}$ ?

*Sources and collaborations.* None.

*Answer.*

No. Counterexample:  $a = 19$ . Proof by contradiction. First we observe that  $589 \nmid 19$ . Now suppose for a contradiction that  $19^{588} \equiv 1 \pmod{589}$ . Then  $19^{588} \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$  because  $19 \mid 589$ . On the other hand,  $19^{588} \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$  and therefore  $1 \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

4.17 (b) Question.

Assume  $\gcd(a, 589) = 1$ . Prove:  $a^{90} \equiv 1 \pmod{589}$ .

*Sources and collaborations.* I found a similar problem in Abramov's "Elementary exercises in number theory," Problem 2.17,

<http://kvabramov.org/numbook/chap2.pdf>

*Answer.*

$589 = 19 \cdot 31$  and both 19 and 31 are primes. In particular, they are relatively prime; therefore it suffices to prove that

- (i)  $a^{90} \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$  and
- (ii)  $a^{90} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$ .

We know that  $\gcd(a, 19) = 1$  and  $\gcd(a, 31) = 1$ . Therefore, by Fermat's little theorem, we have

$$(2) \quad a^{18} \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$$

and

$$(3) \quad a^{30} \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$$

Raising both sides of Eq. (2) to the fifth power we get item (i), and similarly, raising both sides of Eq. (3) to the third power we obtain item (ii).  $\square$