Algorithms – CMSC-272XX Divide and Conquer: *The Karatsuba algorithm* (multiplication of large integers)

Instructor: László Babai

Updated 01-13-2021

NOTATION. In this note, log will always mean \log_2 (base-2 logarithm).

The Karatsuba algorithm provides a striking example of how the "Divide and Conquer" technique can achieve an asymptotic speedup over an ancient algorithm.

The classroom method of multiplying two n-digit integers requires $\Theta(n^2)$ digit operations. We shall show that a simple recursive algorithm solves the problem in $O(n^{\log 3})$ digit operations. (Note: $\log 3 \approx 1.58$.) This represents considerable savings in the asymptotic rate of growth of the number of digit-operations.

We describe the procedure in pseudocode. We assume that the number of digits is a power of 2 so we will not need to worry about rounding. This assumption is justified by padding the number with initial zeros; this will increase the vaule of n by less than a factor of 2, immaterial for our estimates.

Procedure Karatsuba(X, Y)

Input: X, Y: n-digit integers. Output: the product P := XY.

Comment: We assume n is a power of 2.

- 1. **if** n = 1 **then** use multiplication table to find P := XY
- 2. **else** split X, Y in half:
- 3. $X =: 10^{n/2} X_1 + X_2$
- 4. $Y =: 10^{n/2} Y_1 + Y_2$
- 5. Comment: X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 each have n/2 digits.
- 6. $U := \text{Karatsuba}(X_1, Y_1)$
- 7. $V := \text{Karatsuba}(X_2, Y_2)$

- 8. $W := \text{Karatsuba}(X_1 X_2, Y_1 Y_2)$
- 9. Z := U + V W
- 10. $P := 10^n U + 10^{n/2} Z + V$
- 11. Comment: So $U = X_1Y_1$, $V = X_2Y_2$, $W = (X_1 X_2)(Y_1 Y_2)$, and therefore $Z = X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1$. Finally we conclude that $P = 10^n X_1Y_1 + 10^{n/2}(X_1Y_2 + X_2Y_1) + X_2Y_2 = XY$.

12. return P

Analysis. This is a recursive algorithm: during execution, it calls smaller instances of itself.

Let M(n) denote the number of digit-multiplications (line 1) required by the Karatsuba algorithm when multiplying two n-digit integers $(n = 2^k)$. In lines 6,7,8 the procedure calls itself three times on n/2-digit integers; therefore

$$M(n) = 3M(n/2). \tag{1}$$

This equation is a simple recurrence which we may solve directly as follows. Applying equation (1) to M(n/2) we obtain M(n/2) = 3M(n/4); therefore M(n) = 9M(n/4). Continuing similarly we see that M(n) = 27M(n/8), and it follows by induction on i that for every i ($i \le k$),

$$M(n) = 3^i M(n/2^i).$$

Setting i = k we find that $M(n) = 3^k M(n/2^k) = 3^k M(1) = 3^k$. Notice that $k = \log n$ (recall: in this note, log refers to base-2 logarithm), therefore $\log M(n) = k \log 3$ and hence $M(n) = 2^{\log M(n)} = 2^{k \log 3} = (2^k)^{\log 3} = n^{\log 3}$.

It would seem that we reduced the number of digit-multiplications to $n^{\log 3}$ at the cost of an increased number of additions (lines 9, 10). Appearances are deceptive: actually, the procedure achieves similar savings in terms of the total number of digit-operations (additions as well as multiplications).

To see this, let T(n) be the total number of digit-operations (additions, multiplications, bookkeeping (copying digits, maintaining links)) required by the Karatsuba algorithm. Then

$$T(n) = 3T(n/2) + O(n) \tag{2}$$

where the term 3T(n/2) comes, as before, from lines 6,7,8; the additional O(n) term is the number of digit-additions required to perform the additions

and subtractions in lines 9 and 10. The O(n) term also includes bookkeeping costs.

We shall learn later how to analyse recurrences of the form (2). It turns out that the additive O(n) term does not change the rate of growth, and the result will still be

$$T(n) = O(n^{\log 3}). \tag{3}$$

Theorem 1 (Karatsuba). Multiplication of n-digits integers can be performed at the cost of $O(n^{\log 3})$ digit operations and bookkeeping operations.

Comment: While this was not the last word on the complexity of integer multiplication (methods based on Fast Fourier Transform are even faster), it inspired a lot of further progress, including Strassen's fast matrix multiplication.

Multiplication of polynomials of large degree

The same method works for the multiplication of polynomials.

The model:

Input: a pair of polynomials of degree $\leq n-1$, $X(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \cdots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1}$ and $Y(t) = b_0 + b_1 t + \cdots + b_{n-1} t^{n-1}$, each with n coefficient (zero coefficients permitted). The coefficients are real or complex numbers; we refer to these domains as the domain of scalars. Each polynomial is given as an array of coefficients.

Output: the product of the two polynomials, as an array of its 2n-1 coefficients.

Cost: arithmetic operations with scalars (addition, subtraction, multiplication) and copying scalars at unit cost. Bookkeeping operations (copying links, arithmetic with addresses) at unit cost.

Exercise 2. Multiplication of polynomials of degree $\leq n$ can be performed at a cost of $O(n^{\log 3})$ arithmetic operations on scalars, and bookkeeping operations.