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## Chebyshev's Theorem

Recall that $\pi(x)$ is the number of primes less than or equal to $x$. The goal of this lecture is to prove:

Theorem 1 (Chebyshev's Theorem).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x)=\Theta\left(\frac{x}{\ln (x)}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exist $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that for all $x \geq 2$, we have $c_{1} \frac{x}{\ln (x)}<\pi(x)<c_{2} \frac{x}{\ln (x)}$.
This is a weakened version of the prime number theorem and it follows from results about binomial coefficients.

1. $\binom{2 n}{n}<4^{n}=2^{2 n}$

The number of subsets of size $n$ in a set with $2 n$ elements is $\binom{2 n}{n}$. The number of subsets of a set with $2 n$ elements is $2^{2 n}$. Since the subsets of size $n$ are contained in all of the subsets of the set with $2 n$ elements we see that $\binom{2 n}{n}<4^{n}=2^{2 n}$.
This same reasoning shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}=2^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since both sides are the number of subsets of a set with n elements. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{2 n}{n}<\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k}=2^{2 n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. $\frac{4^{n}}{2 n+1}<\binom{2 n}{n}$

The maximum of $\binom{2 n}{k}$ as a function of $k$ is $\binom{2 n}{n}$, so $\binom{2 n}{n}$ is the largest element in $\sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\binom{2 n}{k}=2^{2 n}$. Taking the average of the elements in this sum we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2^{2 n}}{2 n+1}=\frac{\sum\binom{2 n}{k}}{2 n+1}<\binom{2 n}{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the average is always smaller than the largest value.
3. $\binom{2 n+1}{n}<4^{n}=2^{2 n}$

The maximum of $\binom{2 n+1}{k}$ as a function of $k$ is $\binom{2 n+1}{n}=\binom{2 n+1}{n+1}$ (recall $\binom{n}{k}=\binom{n}{n-k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\binom{2 n+1}{n}=\binom{2 n+1}{n}+\binom{2 n+1}{n+1}<\sum_{k=1}^{2 n+1}\binom{2 n+1}{k}=2^{2 n+1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $\binom{2 n+1}{n}<4^{n}$.
What is the largest power of 7 that divided (1000!)? How many multiples of 7 appear in $1,2,3, \ldots, 1000$ ?

More generally, find the largest $\ell$ such that $p^{\ell} \mid n$ !. The number of multiples of $p$ among $1, \ldots n$ is $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p}\right\rfloor$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{2}}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{3}}\right\rfloor+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we added 1 for each multiple of $p$, and another 1 for each multiple of $p^{2}, \ldots$ Writing this in summation notation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor=\sum_{s=1}^{\left\lfloor\log _{p} n\right\rfloor}\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can replace $\infty$ by $\left\lfloor\log _{p} n\right\rfloor$ since $s>\left\lfloor\log _{p} n\right\rfloor$ implies $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor=0$. We can also find an upper bound for $\ell$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell<\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{p^{2}}+\frac{n}{p^{3}}+\ldots=\frac{n}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}}=\frac{n}{p-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Digression: $\left\lfloor\frac{1000000}{7}\right\rfloor=142857$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 142857 \times 2=285714 \\
& 142857 \times 3=428571 \\
& 142857 \times 4=571428 \\
& 142857 \times 7=999999
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality means that $\frac{1}{7}=0 . \dot{1} 4285 \dot{7}$.
Multiplying 142857 by $2,3,4,5$, or 6 gives a cyclic permutation of the digits. Something similar happens for 17 , but not for $3,5,11$, or 13 .

Exercise 2. If $p$ is a prime $\neq 2$ or 5 , then the length of the period of $\frac{1}{p}$ divides $p-1$.

Exercise 3. If the period of $\frac{1}{p}$ is $p-1$ then multiplying the first $p-1$ elements of the decimal expansion of $\frac{1}{p}$ by $1,2, \ldots p-1$ gives all cyclic permutations of that number.

Exercise 4. If $A$ is a 6 digit number and $A, 2 A, 3 A, \ldots, 6 A$ have the same digits as $A$, then $A=142857$.

End of Digression
Theorem 5. If $p^{t} \left\lvert\,\binom{ n}{k}\right.$ then $p^{t} \leq n$.
If $p\binom{n}{k}$ then $p \leq n$ since $\binom{n}{k}=\frac{n!}{k!(n-k!)}$ and no prime larger than $n$ divides $n!$.

Proof of the Theorem. If $t$ is the largest integer such that $\left.p^{t} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right.\right)$ then from formula (7) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n-k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some sample calculations of $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n-k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor$ with $n=1000, k=73$, $p=5$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
s & \left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n-k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor \\
1 & 200-14-185=1 \\
2 & 40-2-37=1 \\
3 & 8-0-7=1 \\
4 & 1-0-1=0
\end{array}
$$

Exercise 6. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p}\right\rfloor}{p}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{2}}\right\rfloor \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 7. For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq\lfloor x+y\rfloor-\lfloor x\rfloor-\lfloor y\rfloor \leq 1$.
Exercise 7 implies that $0 \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor\frac{n-k}{p^{s}}\right\rfloor \leq 1$. So $t$ is less than or equal to the number of terms in the sum, $t \leq\left\lfloor\log _{p} n\right\rfloor$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{t} \leq p^{\left.\log _{p} n\right\rfloor} \leq p^{\log _{p} n}=n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8. For all positive real numbers $x, \prod_{p \leq x} p \leq 4^{x}$.
Observation: It suffices to prove this for positive integers $x$, then it holds for all positive reals.

Proof. This proof is by induction on $x$.
Base case: $x=0, \prod_{p \leq 0} p=1 \leq 4^{0}=1$ (empty products); $x=1$, $\prod_{p \leq 1} p=1 \leq 4^{1}=4 ; x=2, \prod_{p \leq 2} p=2 \leq 4^{2}=16$

Induction step: Assume $x \geq 3$. Assume $\prod_{p \leq y} p \leq 4^{y}$ for all $y<x$. If $x$ is even $\prod_{p \leq x} p=\prod_{p \leq x-1} \leq 4^{x-1}<4^{x}$.

If $x$ is odd, say $x=2 y+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p \leq 2 y+1} p=\left(\prod_{p \leq y+1} p\right)\left(\prod_{y+2 \leq p \leq 2 y+1} p\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $A=\prod_{y+2 \leq p \leq 2 y+1} p$.
Lemma 9. $A \left\lvert\,\binom{ 2 y+1}{y}\right.$

Then $\left.A \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}2 y+1 \\ y\end{array}\right.\right)<4^{y}$. By the induction hypothesis $\prod_{p \leq y+1} p \leq 4^{y+1}$ so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p \leq 2 y+1} p \leq 4^{y+1} 4^{y}=4^{2 y+1}=4^{y} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of the Lemma. $\binom{2 y+1}{y}=\frac{(2 y+1)!}{y!(y+1)!}$ The primes in $A$ divide the numerator and not the denominator of $\frac{(2 y+1)!}{y!(y+1)!}$ and so they divide $\binom{2 y+1}{y}$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 8: $\prod_{p \leq x} p \leq 4^{x}$.
Since $p \geq 2$ for every prime $p$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\pi(x)} \leq \prod_{p \leq x} p \leq 4^{x} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the bound $\pi(x) \leq 2 x$. This bound is not very good, because replacing $p$ with 2 is not a very good estimate. Instead, let's try using $\sqrt{x}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{x} \geq \prod_{p \leq x} p \geq \prod_{\sqrt{x} \leq p \leq x} p \geq \sqrt{x}^{\pi(x)-\pi(\sqrt{x})} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking base-2 logarithms yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 x \geq(\pi(x)-\pi(\sqrt{x})) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log _{2} x \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) \leq \pi(\sqrt{x})+\frac{4 x}{\log _{2} x} \leq \sqrt{x}+\frac{4 x}{\log _{2} x} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\sqrt{x}$ is small compared to $x / \log x$ :
Exercise 10. Use calculus to show that $\sqrt{x}=o(x / \log x)$.
Thus, equation (17) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) \leq \sqrt{x}+\frac{4 x}{\log _{2} x} \sim \frac{4 x}{\log _{2} x}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we obtain the asymptotic inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) \lesssim c \frac{x}{\ln x}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the value $c=4 \ln 2$. This implies

Theorem 11 (Chebyshev's upper bound).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x)<c^{\prime} \frac{x}{\ln x} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $c^{\prime}>4 \ln 2$ and all sufficiently large $x$.
We next want to prove:
Theorem 12 (Chebyshev's lower bound). There exists $c>0$ such that $\pi(x) \gtrsim c \frac{x}{\ln x}$.

To prove this, consider the prime factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{2 n}{n}=\prod_{p \leq 2 n} p^{k_{p}}, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some integers $k_{p} \geq 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4^{n}}{2 n+1}<\binom{2 n}{n}=\prod_{p \leq 2 n} p^{k_{p}} \leq(2 n)^{\pi(2 n)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first inequality follows from equation (4) and the second follows from the fact that $p^{k_{p}} \leq 2 n$. Taking logarithms yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(2 n) \cdot \log _{2}(2 n)>n \log _{2} 4-\log _{2}(2 n+1) \sim n \log _{2} 4=2 n \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(2 n) \gtrsim \frac{2 n}{\log _{2}(2 n)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves Theorem 12 for even $n$.
Exercise 13. Finish the proof by extending the result to all $n$.
This proof of Chebyshev's estimate is due to Paul Erdös, who used the same ideas to give an elementary proof of Bertrand's Postulate: for every $n$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n \leq p<2 n$. It is an open question whether, for every $n$, there is a prime $p$ such that $n^{2}<p<(n+1)^{2}$. An affirmative answer to this question would imply the famous Riemann Hypothesis, which we now briefly discuss.

Consider the zeta function defined by the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges for $s>1$. In fact, this converges for all complex numbers $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} s>1$. Using techniques from complex analysis, we can then extend the function $\zeta$ to the entire complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, with the exception of $s=1$. Riemann's hypothesis says that if $0<\operatorname{Re} s<1$ and $\zeta(s)=0$ then $\operatorname{Re} s=\frac{1}{2}$. A proof of the Riemann hypothesis would give us better estimates of $\pi(x)$.

The Prime Number Theorem says $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\ln x}$. In fact, a better approximation (known to Gauss) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(x) \sim \operatorname{li}(x)=\int_{2}^{x} \frac{d t}{\ln t} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we would like to estimate the error term $|\pi(x)-\operatorname{li}(x)|$. For example, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x}{\ln x}-\operatorname{li}(x)\right|=\Theta\left(\frac{x}{(\ln x)^{2}}\right) . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to the error estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\pi(x)-\operatorname{li}(x)|=O(\sqrt{x}) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $|\pi(x)-\operatorname{li}(x)|<x^{1-\epsilon}$.

## Quadratic residues

Our goal is to prove the following:
Theorem 14. If $p$ is prime and $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ then $p=a^{2}+b^{2}$ for some integers $a, b$.

Recall that $a$ is a quadratic residue $(\bmod p)$ if $x^{2} \equiv a(\bmod p)$ for some $x$. If no such $x$ exists then $a$ is a quadratic non-residue. By convention, if $a \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ then $a$ is neither a quadratic residue nor a non-residue.

Proposition 15. If $p$ is an odd prime then the number of quadratic residues in $\{1,2, \ldots, p-1\}$ is $\frac{p-1}{2}$.

As a corollary, we see that there are also $\frac{p-1}{2}$ quadratic non-residues.
Proof. Notice that $(p-x)^{2} \equiv(-x)^{2} \equiv x^{2}(\bmod p)$, so the number of quadratic residues is at most $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Next, suppose $x^{2} \equiv y^{2}(\bmod p)$. Then $p \mid\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)=(x+y)(x-y)$, which implies that $p \mid(x+y)$ or $p \mid(x-y)$, hence $x \equiv-y(\bmod p)$ or $x \equiv y(\bmod p)$. Thus, we have shown that $x^{2} \equiv y^{2}$ $(\bmod p)$ iff $x \equiv \pm y(\bmod p)$, which proves our claim.

Observe that we can find a quadratic residue of $p$ simply by squaring an integer $\bmod p$, but finding a quadratic non-residue is more difficult. However, the proposition tells us that an integer chosen at random from $\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$ will be a quadratic non-residue with probability $1 / 2$. Thus, the probability that $k$ random choices produces no quadratic non-residue is $2^{-k}$, and the expected number of choices needed to find a non-residue is 2 .

Question: when is -1 a quadratic residue $\bmod p$ ? In other words, for which $p$ does there exist $x$ such that $x^{2} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$. Well, $p \mid\left(x^{2}+1\right)$ implies $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. We will see that the converse also holds: if $p \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$ then -1 is a quadratic residue $\bmod p$.

Notation: the Legendre symbol is defined by

$$
\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1, & a \text { is a quadratic residue }  \tag{29}\\
-1, & a \text { is a quadratic nonresidue } \\
0, & p \mid a
\end{array}\right.
$$

Exercise 16. Prove that the Legendre symbol is multiplicative: for every prime $p$ and integers $a, b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{a b}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 17. If $p \nmid a$ then $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod p)$.
Exercise 18. If $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$ then $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=-1$.
Theorem 19. If $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)=-1$ then $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$.
The above exercises and the previous theorem imply the following result:
Theorem 20 (Euler). $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(\bmod p)$.

Definition 21. $g$ is a primitive root $\bmod p$ if $\left\{1, g, g^{2}, \ldots, g^{p-2}\right\}$ are all nonzero residues $\bmod p$. In other words, for all $b$, if $p \nmid b$ then $b \equiv g^{j}(\bmod p)$ for some $j$.

Exercise 22. Check that 10 is a primitive root mod 7, but 2 is not.
Theorem 23. For all primes $p$, there is a primitive root $\bmod p$.
Exercise 24. Use Theorem 23 to prove Euler's formula for the Legendre symbol (Theorem 20).

