

# REU'09 · Transfinite Combinatorics · Lecture 13

Instructor: László Babai

Scribe: Matthew Wright

August 10, 2009

## 13.1 Regressive Functions

Recall:

**Definition 13.1.1.** A function  $g : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$  is **regressive** if for all  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $g(\alpha) < \alpha$ .

**Theorem 13.1.2** (Fodor's Theorem, baby version). *If  $g : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$  is regressive, then there is  $\beta \in \omega_1$  such that  $|g^{-1}(\beta)| = \aleph_1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\omega_1$  is well-ordered, if we choose some point and keep applying  $g$  we will always reach 0 after a finite number of steps. Let  $A_k$  be the set of  $\alpha$  such that  $g^{k-1}(\alpha) > 0, g^k(\alpha) = 0$ . We can show by induction that if the theorem is false, each  $A_k$  is countable. But their union is  $\omega_1$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Question 13.1.3.** Is there a “large” subset  $S \subset \omega_1$  such that there is a regressive  $g : S \rightarrow \omega_1$ , so that the preimage of each point is countable?

If  $S$  is the set of successor ordinals, we can simply “subtract 1.” This means that Fodor's theorem holds even if we restrict the domain of  $g$  to limit ordinals! In fact, we can make  $S$  even bigger; for example, limit ordinals with an “immediate limit ordinal predecessor”; i.e. those of the form  $\omega(\beta + 1)$ , or even  $\omega^n(\beta + 1)$  (which we can map to  $\omega^n\beta$ ).

**Question 13.1.4.** Let  $P = \{\omega^\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ . Can we define a regressive function on the complement of  $P$  without any point with  $\aleph_1$  preimages?

Yes! Let  $g(\alpha) = \sup\{\gamma < \alpha, \gamma \in P\}$ . We could easily make this even sparser, replacing  $\omega$  with, say  $\epsilon_0$ .

**Definition 13.1.5.**  $S \subseteq \omega_1$  is **stationary** if for every closed, cofinal set  $C \subseteq \omega_1$ ,  $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .

**Exercise 13.1.6.** Show that every stationary set is cofinal.

**Note 13.1.7.** If  $S$  is *not* stationary, then there is  $g : S \rightarrow \omega_1$  regressive such that for all  $\beta \in \omega_1$ ,  $|g^{-1}(\beta)| \leq \aleph_0$  because there is a closed, cofinal set  $C$  disjoint from  $S$  and we can define  $g$  as above (with  $C$  in the role of  $P$ ).

**Exercise 13.1.8.** If  $S$  is stationary, then for every regressive  $g : S \rightarrow \omega_1$  there is  $\beta \in \omega_1$  such that  $|g^{-1}(\beta)| = \aleph_1$ .

**Theorem 13.1.9** (Fodor's theorem). *If  $S \subset \omega_1$  is stationary and  $g : S \rightarrow \omega_1$  is regressive then there is  $\beta \in \omega_1$  such that  $g^{-1}(\beta)$  is stationary.*

## 13.2 Measurable Cardinals

$\sigma$ -additive or “countably additive” means “less-than- $\aleph_1$ -additive.” We shall drop the “less than” and call it  $\aleph_1$ -additive.

**Definition 13.2.1.** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. A  $(0, 1)$  measure  $\mu$  on a set  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -**additive** if the union of fewer than  $\kappa$ -many 0-sets is still a 0-set. If  $\mu$  is  $\aleph_0$ -additive we say it's **finitely-additive**, and if it's  $\aleph_1$ -additive we say it's  **$\sigma$ -additive**.

Note that this means that if  $\mu$  is  $\kappa$ -additive and  $|B| < \kappa$  then  $\mu(B) = 0$ .

**Exercise 13.2.2.** Suppose there exists an  $\aleph_1$ -additive measure. Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the smallest cardinal for which such a  $\mu$  exists. Show that  $\mu$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -additive.

**Definition 13.2.3.**  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a **measurable** cardinal if there is an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -additive measure on  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Exercise 13.2.4.** If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is measurable, then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is strongly inaccessible. That is:

- (a)  $cf(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$  (cofinality), i. e.,  $\mathfrak{m}$  cannot be written as the sum of fewer smaller cardinals; and

(b) if  $\mathfrak{n} < \mathfrak{m}$  then  $2^{\mathfrak{n}} < \mathfrak{m}$ .

*Proof.*  $cf(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$ : suppose  $\mathfrak{m}$  is measurable by  $\mu$ , and  $cf(\mathfrak{m}) < \mathfrak{m}$ . So  $\mathfrak{m} = \sup_{\alpha \in I} \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  where  $|I| < \mathfrak{m}$ . Now  $\mu(\mathfrak{n}_\alpha) = 0$  for each  $\alpha$ , but there are fewer than  $\mathfrak{m}$  of them and their union is all of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.2.5.** *If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a measurable cardinal, and  $S$  is the set of all cardinals  $\mathfrak{n} < \mathfrak{m}$  that are strongly inaccessible, then  $|S| = \mathfrak{m}$ ; in fact,  $S$  is stationary in  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

**Exercise 13.2.6.** Prove : if  $\mathfrak{m}$  is measurable then  $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})$ .

**Exercise 13.2.7.** Prove: if  $\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m})$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}$  is strongly inaccessible.