

Discrete Math, Second Series, 11th Problem Set (August 11)

REU 2003

Instructor: László Babai
Scribes: Mridul Mehta and Tom Hayes

1 Multiply transitive groups

We use $\Omega^{(t)}$ to denote the set of ordered t -tuples of distinct elements of Ω . So if $|\Omega| = n$ then $|\Omega^{(t)}| = n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1)$.

Definition 1.1. A permutation group $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ is t -transitive if G acts transitively on $\Omega^{(t)}$. A 2-transitive group is also called *doubly transitive*; a 3-transitive group is *triply transitive*, etc.

Exercise 1.2. If G is t -transitive then $n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1) \mid |G|$.

Definition 1.3. The *degree of transitivity* of G is the largest t such that G is t -transitive.

Exercise 1.4. The degree of transitivity of S_n is n ; the degree of transitivity of A_n is $n-2$.

Exercise 1.5. For $n \geq 4$, the degree of transitivity of D_n is 1.

Exercise 1.6. If $\text{Aut } X$ is doubly transitive then $X = K_n$ or $\overline{K_n}$.

Definition 1.7. $\text{AGL}(n, q)$ is the *affine general linear group*. This group acts on \mathbb{F}_q^n by any composition of linear transformations and translations (exercise: one of each suffice). q is the order of the field, n is the dimension.

Exercise 1.8. $\text{AGL}(n, q)$ acts doubly transitively on \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Exercise 1.9. If 3 points in \mathbb{F}_q^n are not collinear then they are equivalent under AGL to every other such triple.

Exercise 1.10. $\text{AGL}(n, 2)$ is triply transitive. So is $\text{AGL}(1, 3)$. All others AGL's have degree of transitivity 2.

Exercise 1.11. For $n > 2$, $\text{AGL}(n, 2)$ is not 4-transitive.

Exercise 1.12. If G is t -transitive then G_x (stabilizer of a point) is $(t-1)$ -transitive.

The following remarkable permutation groups were found by Mathieu around 1870. They are called “Mathieu groups;” they are defined as permutation groups of degree indicated in the subscript:

1. M_{24} is 5-transitive
2. M_{23} is 4-transitive
3. M_{12} is 5-transitive
4. M_{11} is 4-transitive

Theorem 1.13. *If $G \neq A_n, S_n$, then the degree of transitivity of G is ≤ 5 ; in fact the degree of transitivity is ≤ 3 unless $G = M_i$ for $i \in \{11, 12, 23, 24\}$.*

This is a consequence of the ENORMOUS Theorem.

ENORMOUS Theorem: Classification of finite simple groups. (≈ 1980 or ≈ 1995). Proof is 15,000 pages long (human generated), by about 100 authors. There is a “revisionist project” to compress this proof to a more readable 5000 pages ...

This theorem has a large number of important, simply stated consequences. One of them:

Corollary 1.14. *Every finite simple group is generated by two elements.*

An earlier theorem is this:

Theorem 1.15 (Odd Order Theorem, Feit-Thompson, 1963). *Every (nonabelian) finite simple group has even order. Equivalently, every finite group of odd order is solvable.*

Exercise 1.16. Prove that these two statements are equivalent.

Remark 1.17. The Feit-Thompson theorem was originally 270 pages, and contributed to Thompson (a former University of Chicago graduate student) earning the Fields medal.

History: Burnside, circa 1900. Structure of doubly-transitive permutation groups via simple groups.

Combined with the Classification of Finite Simple Groups, Curtis, Kantor and Seitz obtained, in a 57-page paper, a **classification of doubly-transitive permutation groups** (except those with an abelian normal subgroup (called the “affine case”)) (1976).

Theorem 1.13 (there are no 6-transitive permutation groups other than S_n and A_n) is a corollary to their work. Here is another consequence.

Corollary 1.18 (Schreier’s Hypothesis). *If G is simple then $\text{Out}(G)$ is solvable.*

Jordan proved (circa 1890) that $t < c \log^2 n / \log \log n$, where t is the degree of transitivity of any permutation group of degree n other than S_n and A_n .

Lemma 1.19. $G \leq S_n$, p_1, \dots, p_ℓ distinct prime divisors of $|G|$, and $p_1 \cdots p_\ell \geq n^k$. Then

$$(\exists \pi \in G)(2 \leq \deg(\pi) \leq n/k)$$

Claim 1.20. $(\exists \sigma \in G, \exists i \leq \ell)(\#\{x \in \Omega : p_i \mid \text{period of } x \text{ under } \sigma\} \in [2, n/k])$

Proof of Lemma from Claim. Raise σ to power m which is the maximal divisor of $n!$ relatively prime to p_i . So all cycles in σ^m have length a power of p_i and $\sigma^m \neq 1$.

Proof of Claim. Set $Q(x) = \{p_i : p_i \mid \text{period of } x\}$. Then

$$(\forall x) \left(\prod_{p_i \in Q(x)} p_i \leq n \right)$$

But

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i \geq n^k.$$

Taking logarithms,

$$(\forall x) \left(\sum_{p_i \in Q(x)} \log p_i \leq \log n \right)$$

But

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log p_i \geq k \log n.$$

What we want to know is: does there exist i such that

$$f(i) := \sum_{x: p_i \in Q(x)} 1 \leq n/k?$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log p_i f(i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log p_i \sum_{x: p_i \in Q(x)} 1 \\ &= \sum_x \sum_{i: p_i \in Q(x)} \log p_i \\ &\leq n \log n. \end{aligned}$$

The weighted average of $f(i)$ is thus

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log p_i f(i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \log p_i} \leq \frac{n \log n}{k \log n} = \frac{n}{k}.$$

Thus in particular, there exists i such that $f(i) \leq n/k$. □

Lemma 1.21. *Suppose G is t -transitive, where $t = p_1 + \dots + p_\ell$. (Pretend we don't know the Enormous Theorem). Then there exists $\pi \in G$ such that π has cycles of length each p_i .*

Proof: Since G is t -transitive, we can require that π acts on the t elements by inducing orbits of lengths p_1, \dots, p_ℓ . □

Let x be such that $\sum_{p < x} p \approx n^4$, so that $x \approx 4 \ln n$. Let $t = \sum_{p < 4 \ln n} p \approx c \ln^2 n / \ln \ln n$ (exercise!). $\prod_{p < x} p \approx e^{x(1+o(1))}$.

(This ends a significant portion of the proof of Jordan's bound on the degree of transitivity. For the rest, see the B-Seress article handed out.)

2 Estimating Diameters of Cayley Graphs of S_n or A_n

Let $G = S_n$ or $G = A_n$. Let T be a generating set. Question: What is the distance (from the identity element, in the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, T)$) of the element π from Lemma 1.21? I.e., what is the word length of π over T ?

Build the directed graph of the effects of T on $\Omega^{(t)}$. Specifically, for every $\vec{x} \in \Omega^{(t)}$, for every $\sigma \in T$, put an edge from \vec{x} to \vec{x}^σ .

Exercise 2.1. Since G acts t -transitively, this graph is strongly connected.

This implies a bound on the word length of $|\Omega^{(t)}| = n(n-1) \cdots (n-t+1) < n^t$.

This ends a significant portion of the proof that the diameter of all Cayley graphs of S_n and A_n is $< e^{\sqrt{n \log n}(1+o(1))}$.

3 Possible Pathologies in Neighborhood Sequence of a Vertex-Transitive Graph X

$S_i(x) = \{y \mid \text{dist}(x, y) = i\}$. Let $s_i = |S_i(x)|$. $s_0 = 1$, $s_1 = \text{degree}$. s_i .

Claim: $s_4 \geq c s_3$, where $c = 2/13$, if $\text{diam}(X) \geq 7$.

The increase s_{i+1}/s_i can be at most the degree minus 1. But how much can the value go down from one level to the next?

3.1 EXPANSION of vertex-transitive graphs

Let $S \subseteq V(X)$, and let $\partial S = \{x \in V \setminus S \mid (\exists y \in S)(x \sim y)\}$. The *isoperimetric ratio* is $\frac{|\partial S|}{|S|}$. In a continuous setting, this would be a ratio of surface area to volume.

For a network to be reliable, it should not be possible to disconnect a large part of the network by breaking a small number of edges. In other words, a large isoperimetric ratio is desirable for all subsets.

Theorem 3.1 (Global expansion, Aldous). *Let X be a finite connected vertex-transitive graph (undirected). If $|S| \leq |V|/2$ then*

$$\frac{|\partial S|}{|S|} \geq \frac{2}{2d+1},$$

where d is the diameter of X .

Proof: In B-Seress article (Corollary 2.3). □

Theorem 3.2 (local expansion). *Let X be a finite connected vertex-transitive graph (undirected). If $S \subseteq V$ and $\text{diam}(S) < \text{diam}(V)$. Then*

$$\frac{|\partial S|}{|S|} \geq \frac{2}{\text{diam}(S) + 2}.$$

Proof: This is Theorem 3.2 in the B-Seress article. □

Here is another version which does not assume the diameter of S is less than that of V :

Theorem 3.3 (local expansion). *Let X be a finite connected vertex-transitive graph (undirected), If $S \subseteq V$, and $|S| \leq |V|/2$, then*

$$\frac{|\partial S|}{|S|} \geq \frac{2}{2 \text{diam}(S) + 1}.$$

Now we apply these results to neighborhood sequences. By definition, $s_3 = |\partial B(2, x)|$, where $B(2, x)$ is the *ball of radius 2* around x . Now $|B(2, x)| = s_0 + s_1 + s_2$. So by the last result,

$$\frac{s_3}{s_0 + s_1 + s_2} \geq \frac{2}{9},$$

and more generally,

$$\frac{s_i}{s_0 + \dots + s_{i-1}} \geq \frac{2}{4i-3},$$

assuming either that $s_0 + s_1 + s_2 \leq n/2$ or $\text{diam}(X) \geq 2i - 1$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be an infinite, locally finite connected vertex-transitive graph. Then*

$$\frac{|\partial S|}{|S|} \geq \frac{1}{\text{diam}(S) + 1}.$$

Proof: Let $d = \text{diam}(S)$. Let N denote the number of shortest paths of length $d + 1$ passing through a given vertex. Count those among all such possible paths which intersect S . There are at least $|S|N/(d + 2)$ of these. All of these intersect ∂S . But at most $|\partial S|N$ paths intersect the boundary. Hence

$$|\partial S|N \geq \frac{|S|N}{d + 2}$$

and so $|\partial S|/|S| \geq 1/(d + 2)$. □

Exercise 3.5. Improve the above proof to actually prove the theorem as stated (replace $d + 2$ with $d + 1$).

Wesley Pegden clarified that the neighborhood sequence of a locally infinite vertex-transitive graph cannot show any pathology: If X is a locally infinite, connected, vertex transitive graph, then $s_0 = 1, s_1 = \infty, \infty, \dots$, possibly $s_{\text{diam}} = \text{finite}$.

A further question: Are all the infinite cardinalities equal, except possibly for the last one? (Answer: yes – Wesley.)

Open question: what about directed vertex-transitive graphs with infinite out-degree?

Exercise 3.6. For all $d \geq 2$, construct an infinite vertex transitive, locally infinite graph of $\text{diam} = d$, such that $s_d = \text{finite}$. (Probably possible)

4 Diameter of S_n

Recall the following result:

Lemma 4.1. *For $A, B \subseteq \Omega$, $|\Omega| = n$, and $G \leq \text{Sym}(\Omega)$ transitive, then*

$$\mathbb{E}(|A \cap B^\sigma|) = \frac{|A||B|}{n}.$$

In other words, if $\mu(A) := |A|/n$, is the *normalized size*, then $\mathbb{E}(\mu(A \cap B^\sigma)) = \mu(A)\mu(B)$.

Proof: For each $x \in \Sigma$, define the indicator variables

$$\vartheta_x = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in B^\sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$|A \cap B^\sigma| = \sum_{x \in A} \vartheta_x.$$

By the linearity of expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}(|A \cap B^\sigma|) = \sum_{x \in A} \mathbb{E}(\vartheta_x) = \sum_{x \in A} \frac{|B|}{n} = \frac{|A||B|}{n}.$$

□

Recall that $\deg[\sigma, \tau] \leq 3|\text{supp}(\sigma) \cap \text{supp}(\tau)|$ (review!).

Claim 4.2. *For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists c such that the following holds. If $G \leq S_n$, transitive, $G = \langle T \rangle$, $\sigma \in G$, $A := \text{supp}(\sigma)$. Then $\exists \tau \in G$ such that $\text{dist}_T(\tau) \leq n^c$ such that $|A \cap A^\tau| \leq \frac{|A|^2(1+\epsilon)}{n}$.*

Definition 4.3. Let ξ be the position of a particle in Ω , i. e., a random variable whose value is an element of Ω . We say ξ has an ϵ -nearly uniform distribution if

$$(\forall x \in \Omega) \Pr(\xi = x) = \frac{1 \pm \epsilon}{n}.$$

(We will use the shorthand $a = (1 \pm \epsilon)b$ in place of the more cumbersome $a \in [(1 - \epsilon)b, (1 + \epsilon)b]$.)

Definition 4.4 (“Lazy random walk”). Let $G = \langle T \rangle$, where $T = T^{-1}$ and $1 \in T$. Let $\xi_0 \in G$ be the starting point (arbitrary). Define $\xi_{t+1} = \xi_t^\sigma$, where $\sigma \in T$ is chosen uniformly at random.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $G = \langle T \rangle$, G transitive on Ω , $|\Omega| = n$. Let ξ_t be distributed according to a lazy random walk defined above. Then after $t \leq n^c$ steps, ξ_t is ϵ -nearly uniform.*

From this it follows that a random $\sigma \in G$ can be replaced with a short word in the generators in Lemma 4.1:

Corollary 4.6. *Let $G = \langle T \rangle$, G transitive on Ω , $|\Omega| = n$. Let σ be a lazy random word of length $t = n^c$ over T where c is as in the preceding theorem. Let $A, B \subset \Omega$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}(|A \cap B^\sigma|) = \frac{|A||B|}{n}(1 \pm \epsilon).$$

Exercise 4.7. Prove this result by adapting the proof of Lemma 4.1.