

## Item 200

*Team: Armadillo of Darkness***Lemma 1.1**  $\exists x, x' \in \{\text{milkshakes}\} \text{ s.t. } x \neq x'$ 

**proof:**  $\{\text{chocolate milkshakes}\} \subseteq \{\text{milkshakes}\}, \wedge \{\text{strawberry milkshakes}\} \subseteq \{\text{milkshakes}\}$ . But we also know that  $\{\text{chocolate milkshakes}\} \cap \{\text{strawberry milkshakes}\} = \phi$ , and that  $\{\text{strawberry milkshakes}\}, \{\text{chocolate milkshakes}\} \neq \phi$ . So, by the Axiom of Choice, we can choose  $x \in \{\text{chocolate milkshakes}\}$ , and  $x' \in \{\text{strawberry milkshakes}\}$ , and  $x \neq x'$ .

□

**Lemma 1.2** *La-la la-la la.***proof:** I'd prove it'ya but I have to charge.

□

**Definition 1.3** Define  $\text{yard}_\delta(m)$  to be  $\{x \mid |x - m| < \delta\}$ , where  $m \in \{\text{milkshakes}\}, \delta \in \mathbb{R}, \delta > 0$ .**Lemma 1.4** *Your milkshake is not in my yard.*

**proof:** Since our space is Hausdorff, we can choose  $\delta$  so that for  $m \neq m', \text{yard}_\delta(m) \cap \text{yard}_\delta(m') = \phi$ . So  $(\text{my yard}) \cap (\text{your yard}) = \phi \forall \text{you}$ . Moreover, your milkshake  $\in \text{yard}_\delta(\text{your milkshake})$ . Therefore your milkshake is not in my yard.

□

**Definition 1.5** We define a partial ordering on the set  $\{\text{milkshakes}\}$  in the following way: if  $x, x' \in \{\text{milkshakes}\}$ , then  $x \geq x'$  if  $x$  brings at least as many boys to the yard as  $x'$ . We say a milkshake  $x$  is better than a milkshake  $x'$  if  $x$  brings more boys to the yard than  $x'$ .

**Lemma 1.6** If  $\exists x : x \in \{\text{milkshakes}\} \wedge y \in \{\text{boys}\}, \lim_{x \rightarrow y}(x - y) = 0 \Rightarrow |x - \epsilon| < |\text{my yard}|$ , then for every yard  $y$ , if  $\exists$  a boy  $b \in y$ , then  $y$  is my yard.

**proof:**  $\forall x \in \{\text{milkshakes}\}, \forall y \in \{\text{boys}\}, \lim_{x \rightarrow y}(x - y) = 0$ , so by the hypothesis,  $|x - \epsilon| < |\text{my yard}|, \forall \epsilon > 0, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

$\Rightarrow |y| - \epsilon \leq |y - \epsilon| < |\text{my yard}|$ , *by the reverse triangle inequality*

$\Rightarrow |y| < |\text{my yard}| + \epsilon \Rightarrow y$  *is within  $\epsilon$  of my yard*

Therefore  $y \in \overline{\text{my yard}}$ , the closure of my yard. But since all other yards are open sets not intersecting my yard (by lemma 1.5), this proves  $y$  is not in any other yard,  $\forall y \in \{\text{boys}\}$ .

□

**Theorem 1.7** *If  $\exists x : x \in \{\text{milkshakes}\} \wedge y \in \{\text{boys}\}, \lim_{x \rightarrow y}(x - y) = 0 \Rightarrow |x - \epsilon| < |\text{my yard}|$ , then my milkshake is better than yours.*

**proof:** By lemma 1.6, and definition 1.5, it suffices to show that there is a boy in my yard. There is milkshake in my yard (by definition 1.3), moreover milkshake  $\Rightarrow$  booty. But, by extensive experimental research on item #162<sup>1</sup>, it has been determined that boys go to booty. But, by lemma 1.6, boys don't go to your yard,  $\forall \text{you} \neq \text{me} \Rightarrow$  boys don't go to your booty  $\forall \text{you} \neq \text{me}$ . Therefore boys go to my booty. But my booty is in the interior of my yard. Therefore there are boys in my yard.

□

## Footnotes

1. **item #162:** <http://www.theassbook.com/>